AUTOMATED ESTIMATION OF LOIN MUSCLE MASS IN LIVING RABBITS USING COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY
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Abstract

The objective of this study was to present the updated segmentation technique predicting the loin muscle weight of rabbits based on in vivo computed tomography measurements. The segmented muscle volumes are used to estimate the weight of the loin muscle and the predicted weights are compared to the real weights of meat cuts measured after the dissection of the animals. The $R^2$ value of the proposed technique is 0.74 which is significantly better than the determination coefficients than that of the of the previously used method ($R^2$ 0.49). The proposed technique is suitable to be involved in the breeding selection program of rabbits (Pannon White) at Kaposvár University, Hungary.
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INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, the use of computed tomography (CT) instruments tends to appear in the everyday practice of agricultural research. Due to its non-invasive nature, the use of CTs enables the examination of living animals; it is less time-consuming, more accurate and reproducible than dissection. One can estimate the body composition of living animals and efficiently utilize the results in breeding selection programs (e.g. pig (Gjerlaug-Enger et al., 2012), sheep (Navajas et al., 2007), rabbit (Nagy et al., 2006)) and carcass and meat quality predictions (e.g. pig (Font-i-Furnols et al., 2013), beef (Font-i-Furnols et al., 2014), sheep (Lambe et al., 2017)). The tendency is greatly characterized by the large industrial and academic co-operations working on the standardization of farm animal cross sectional, digital imaging methods (Scholz et al., 2015).

The segmentation of animal CT images is usually carried out by simple thresholding: the threshold 0 HU (Hounsfield Unit – the unit of radio density values in CT images) is used to distinguish fat and meat voxels and the threshold 120 HU is accepted as the threshold between meat and bone voxels (VesterChristensen et al., 2009; Font-i-Furnols et al., 2009). The number of voxels belonging to some specific tissue is then regressed to the weights measured during dissection to get a predictive model of tissue weights from CT images.

Glasbey and Robinson (2002) give a thorough overview on the techniques used to predict the weights of tissues from CT scans. Recently, the use of
HU histograms as explanatory variables with partial least squares (PLS) regression became the state-of-the-art technique for weight prediction (Romvári et al., 1996; Font-i-Furnols et al., 2009; Font-i-Furnols et al., 2013) and intramuscular fat estimation (Font-i-Furnols et al., 2013, 2014), since PLS regression can handle the strong multicollinearity of histograms bins.

Either voxel counts based on thresholding or histograms are used, the predictions are highly biased by the contributions of irrelevant organs and the false densities introduced by the partial volume effect (PVE) (Xiberta et al., 2017). Thus, one can expect that the accurate segmentation of CT images preceding the extraction of voxel counts or histograms could improve the accuracy of weight predictions. In order to enable the drawing of statistically relevant conclusions in the studies, the segmentation method should be automated and robust. Although CT image segmentation has been studied for decades in the human medicine, the fully automated segmentation of CT images of animals is different:

• unlike in medicine, where most of the CT segmentation techniques are semi-automated (needing some human input or human validation), in farm animal imaging large number of scans need to be evaluated to draw statistically valid conclusions about populations and full-automation is preferred for cost and processing time reduction;
• unlike in industry, where engineering objects are scanned for quality insurance, in farm animal imaging living animals are scanned with enormous variability, thus, the simple segmentation and rigid registration techniques used for engineering objects cannot be exploited.

Due to the novelty of this paradigm, the relevant literature is limited. Regarding image segmentation techniques, we mention the segmentation method based on spatial Bayesian classification described by Larsen (2000) and successfully applied by Vester-Christensen et al. (2009) for the automated and accurate segmentation of pig carcasses. This method is based on the observation that various tissues reside in large, connected parts of the body. Another promising, model-driven approach is described in former studies for the modelling and segmentation of live pigs (Ho et al., 2019; Gangsei et al., 2016b). It is worth to note that both of these techniques have used animal characteristics and visual information or online probe of the carcasses and not for living animals (Ngo et al., 2016; Gangsei et al., 2016a). The statistical or geometrical modelling of densities in living animals is a complicated and unsolved issue. These methods can hardly be extended directly to living animals, but these can be used efficiently when the irrelevant internal organs and soft tissues are already removed from the images. Zhou et al. (2006) describe a technique for the detection and removal of inner structures in human CT images. Recently, a rule-based technique using morphological operators and distance maps is described for the automated removal of intestines from the CT scans of living pigs by Xiberta et al. (2017).

In this paper, a novel technique is presented for the automated segmentation of the loin muscle in the CT images of living rabbits. The method is based on some simple morphological operators we have developed for a more general problem: to remove voxels from binary images that are not parts of any strongly connected, slowly and smoothly varying object.

In rabbit breeding programs the main selection criterion is the volume of a specific axial part of the loin muscle ($Musculus\ longissimus\ lumborum$ and the caudal part of the $M.\ longissimus\ thoracis$). This muscle runs along the body of the animal and the axial region of interest is defined by some geometrical constraints related to the skeleton of the animal: the region of interest resides between the fifth pair of ribs (caudocranial direction), and the slice in which the pelvis bone first appears (craniocaudal direction). The skeleton and the region of interest are visualized in Fig. 1.

1: The 3D image of the skeleton of an animal visualized by 3D Slicer program. The region of interest lies between the red planes
In the region of interest, the loin muscle touches several organs and soft-tissues that have densities from the same range as a muscle (liver, kidneys, guts) (see Fig. 2). The difficulties of the problem lie in circumstances:

a) the animals are living during the acquisition process, they are breathing, their heart is beating, thus, the boundaries of tissues in the torso are not as sharp as they could be with slaughtered animals;

b) the use of drugs or anaesthesia is prohibited by laws, since the animals having undesired properties are slaughtered and prepared for human consumption;

c) accordingly, although the animals are immobilized to the trough, they can make small movements, slightly rotate and strain their body.

Clearly, the boundaries of the object of interest are not sharp and its geometry also varies. However, as a characteristic property, we can mention its strong connectedness in both the axial direction and within the axial slices. This is the feature we utilize in the proposed segmentation method to remove those regions that are not part of the object of interest.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Acquisition Protocol

170 animals (at the age of 11 weeks) were involved in the study at 2018 January, and used a Siemens Somatom Sensation Cardiac 16 MDCT scanner at the Institute of Diagnostic Imaging and Radiation Oncology of the Kaposvár University, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences for acquisition. During the CT measurements scans of overlapping slices covering the rabbits from head to toe were recorded. The used acquisition parameters were: tube voltage 140 kV, X-ray radiation dose 90 mAs, spiral data collection mode with pitch 1, field of view 500 mm, slice thickness 2 mm. During the CT scanning procedures 3 rabbits were immobilized in a special plastic container with belts, anaesthetics were not applied (Fig. 3). The acquisition process was performed imaging without anaesthetics, because it is expensive, time consuming and contraindicated in terms of food safety, although the risk of the appearance of the motion artefacts is increasing. In the common practice, the animal was lying in prone position during the acquisition process as one can see in Fig. 3.

The Proposed Segmentation Method

Rough Segmentation of the Skeleton to Determine the Axial Region of Interest

First, we have to determine the axial region of interest, which is defined as the axial region between the fifth pair of ribs counting from the caudal part and the axial slice where the pelvis bone appears. The skeleton of the animal is extracted by simple thresholding over the level of 150HU.

Then, the backbone is extracted by morphological opening with a sufficiently long axial structuring element. The largest object remaining is considered to be the backbone of the animal. The backbone is subtracted from the skeleton and the largest object remaining is considered to consist of the pelvis and the bones of the legs, thus, the slice where the pelvis bone first appears can be identified.

The identification of the fifth pair of ribs is more difficult. Firstly, the ribs are extracted from the skeleton, by applying a morphological dilation in the axial direction with a structuring element that is longer than the expected distance between two
The segmentation of the loin muscle must be carried out in the axial region specified by the position of the fifth pair of ribs and the first axial slice where the pelvis bone appears. The initial segmentation is carried out by thresholding at 0 HU level. Then, the voxels not belonging to the loin are removed. In order to carry out a robust and accurate segmentation, we have used some simple geometric considerations to develop four operators, each of them formulating a constraint on voxels that can be removed from the initial, threshold based segmentation. The operators are introduced in the following subsections.

**The Bounded Axial Slope Operator**

The first operator utilizes the observation that the objects of interest vary smoothly and slowly along the axial direction. Thus, the axial slope of object boundaries is bounded, particularly; a voxel in slice $i$ cannot be part of the object of interest if another voxel close enough in slice $i - 1$ or $i + 1$ is not part of the object of interest. We introduce the Bounded Axial Slope Operator (BASO) that simply removes the voxels that do not fit the mentioned criterion. Particularly, the following steps are repeated in the axial region of interest until more voxels cannot be removed. Let $(s, r, c)$ denote the coordinates of the foreground voxel $v$. Voxel $v$ is removed if there are no foreground voxels in the disk-shaped regions of radius $r$ centered around $(r, c)$ in the axial slices $s - 1$ and $s + 1$.

We note that this concept is usually utilized in semi-automated solutions: the expert of the field makes an annotation of an organ in one slice and the system offers it as an initial solution for the next slice, assuming that only slight modifications in the boundary are required.

**Sagittal and Coronal Connectivity Operator**

The second operator we introduce is based on the observation that the objects of interest have strong short-range connectivity in the axial slices. Namely, in an axial slice one voxel of the object can be connected to other voxels of the object along straight lines inside the object. We note that this constraint does not mean that the shape of the axial slice of the object is convex. It can be concave, as well, until the concavities enable to connect voxels within the object by straight lines of a given minimum length.

The basic operation of the proposed Sagittal and Coronal Connectivity Operator (SCCO) is checking whether a voxel of the object can be connected to other voxels of the object with straight lines inside the object. In order to increase the robustness of the operator against salt-and-pepper like noise and cases when the object of interest is not perfectly aligned in the axial direction, the connectivity is also checked in the neighbouring slices.

Due to limitations on the length of the paper, we do not introduce the operator formally; only describe its operation in words. The operator denoted by $SCCO_{1,2,3,4}$ has four parameters. Based on the first two parameters, a set of line segments is assigned to the operator. The line segments are specified by the polar coordinates $l$ (length), $\theta$ (polar angle), and $\phi$ (azimuth angle). The relation of the polar coordinate system to the body of the animal is visualized in Fig. 4(a). The length $l = l_i$ of the line segments is fixed, the polar and azimuth angles of the line segments take all the combinations of the

consecutive pairs of ribs. This operation turns the individual ribs into a large connected component. Then, the backbone is subtracted from the skeleton and the two largest regions (A, B) residing above the pelvis bone is considered to be the connected ribs of the left and right hand sides. The voxels of the original skeleton covered by the regions A and B are considered to be the voxels of ribs. Thus, the ribs are extracted, however, one cannot simply count the pairs of ribs, since (a) due to PVE, some thin ribs may be fragmented; (b) some consecutive ribs can be connected by nature; (c) if the animal rotates its body, further consecutive ribs seem to be connected in the CT image; (d) the ribs are curved, and the axial extents of one rib usually intersect with the axial extents of the neighbouring ribs. The robust determination of the axial location of the fifth pair of ribs is carried out in the following way. Based on the regions A and B, we can estimate the largest radial extents of the ribs $r$. We assume that at the distance of $r/2$ voxels from the backbone the individual ribs are not connected to each other. This is a natural assumption, considering that the animal cannot rotate its body so much that the ribs at this distance could be seen connected. Thus, a tube is placed around the backbone with inner and outer radii $r/2 - r/5$, $r/2 + r/5$, respectively, and this tube is used for masking the already extracted ribs (that still can be connected) in order to identify some fragments from the individual ribs. However, since the individual ribs can be also fragmented, in some cases more than one fragment is extracted from the same rib by the masking operation. To make these fragments connective, dilation is applied in the axial slices with a structuring element of radius $r/3$. Now the one and only one fragment corresponds to each rib, thus, one can easily identify the axial slice where the fifth pair of ribs resides, by simply sorting the fragments by axial coordinates and determining the axial coordinates of the fifth pair. The values $r/2$, $r/3$ and $r/5$ are determined experimentally, slight changes do not affect the results. We note that the fragmentation of thin ribs could be reduced by PVE correction methods; however, we have found that the proposed technique based on some simple geometric considerations fulfils the requirements of determining the desired region of interest with acceptable accuracy.
discretized ranges $\theta \in \{\pi/2 - \Theta_1, ..., \pi/2 + \Theta_1\}$, and $\phi \in \{0, ..., 2\pi\}$. In practice, both ranges can be discretized at the multiples of 1°. To illustrate the line segments assigned to the operator $SCCO^{15,5,0.9,0.2}$, they are visualized volumetrically in Fig. 4(b) and Fig. 4(c).

The operator $SCCO^{k,\theta_1,\theta_2}$ works in the following way. We consider two voxels $v_l$ and $v_r$ connected by a line segment if at least $t_1$ foreground voxels can be found along the straight line from $v_l$ to $v_r$. The operator fits all line segments to a foreground voxel $v$ and checks, whether it is connected to other foreground voxels regarding the definition of connectivity we have introduced. The azimuth angles of the line segments connecting other foreground voxels to $v$ are registered. If there is a contiguous range of the registered azimuth angles that is larger than $\pi$, voxel $v$ is kept, otherwise $v$ is removed from the set of foreground voxels. The role of threshold $t_1$ is to reduce the effects of salt-and-pepper like noise, $t_1$ is usually close to 1. Threshold $t_2$ is used to handle the cases when the voxels of some undesired tissues form a linear structure in the axial slices. This is usually the case with the axial sections of the skin of the animals. In practice $t_2$ is usually larger than zero, but much smaller than 1. The polar range specified by $\Theta_1$ is used to control the number of neighbouring axial slices involved when the connectivity is checked. In practice, the range specified by $\Theta_1$ is usually narrow, that is, $\Theta_1$ is close to 0.

See Fig. 5 for the illustration of the work of the operator. In Fig. 5(a) a slice of the binary object is visualized with some sample voxels. In Fig. 5(b) the line segments specified by the parameters of the operator $SCCO^{20,0,0.8,0.2}$ are visualized when the segments are fitted to the sample voxels. Green and red colour indicates the line segments that connect and do not connect the sample voxels to other voxels, respectively. Although there are some line segments that still connect the sample voxels $x$ and $z$ to other voxels, the largest continuous range covered by the azimuth angles of these line segments is much smaller than $0.22\pi$, thus, the foreground voxel $x$ and $z$, belonging to the intestines area and the skin are removed, while the voxels $v$ and $w$ belonging to the object of interest are kept. The iterative applications of the operator remove a large part of the undesired structures from the image (see Fig. 5(c) and Fig. 5(d)).

**Axial Connectivity Operator**

The third operator being introduced is focusing on the most representative property of the objects of interest: the long range axial connectivity. The idea behind the operator is similar to that of the $SCCO$ operator: we suppose that straight lines connecting foreground voxels can be drawn into the object of interest. In the development of the operator we have handled three issues carefully:
a) the object of interest may be not aligned properly in the axial direction;
b) the natural contour of the object is usually not straight;
c) there can be other objects that are slightly connected to the object of interest.

In the description of the operator we use the polar coordinate system introduced in the previous subsection. The Axial Connectivity Operator ($ACO^{0,\theta_1,\theta_2}$) has three parameters. The parameter $l_1$ specifies the maximum length of line segments
associated to the operator, $\Theta_2$ specifies the range of the polar coordinates of the line segments and $t_3$ is a threshold variable. On the contrary to the SCCO operator, this time two sets of line segments are associated with the operator, $L$ and $M$. The line segments in $L$ have polar coordinates from the range $[-\Theta_2, \Theta_2]$, while the line segments in the set $M$ have polar coordinates from the range $[\pi - \Theta_2, \pi + \Theta_2]$. The line segments associated with the operator $ACO_0^{0.15,0.8}$ are visualized in Fig. 6(a). The line segments in $L$ point from the origin towards the positive axial direction, while the line segments in $M$ point from the origin towards the negative axial direction. The parameter $\Theta_2$ is usually a small value enabling small deviations from the axial direction.

The process of the operator is described below. Similarly, to the case of the SCCO operator, two foreground voxels are considered to be connected with a line segment of length $l$ if at least $t_4$ voxels along the segment are foreground voxels. In this sense, the $ACO$ operator examines a foreground voxel $v$ by fitting the line segments of $L$ to $v$ and checking whether $v$ can be connected to other foreground voxels. Let the length of the longest line segment that connects $v$ to another foreground voxel be denoted by $l$. The same operation is carried out for the elements of $M$ and the length of the longest line segment that connects $v$ to another foreground voxel $l^M$ is determined. Voxel $v$ is kept as a foreground voxel, if $l + l^M > l$, holds, otherwise $v$ is removed. In words, the $ACO$ operator checks whether piecewise linear structures of minimum length $l_4$ can be drawn into the object, when the breakpoint of the piecewise linear structure is positioned at $v$. The operation of the specific operator $ACO_0^{0.15,0.8}$ is illustrated in Fig. 6 (b, c, d). The voxel $v$ residing in the kidney is removed, since the overall length of line segments connecting that voxel to other foreground voxels is smaller than 40. In the same time, voxel $w$ in the middle of the object of interest and voxel $x$ on the varying boundary of the object of interest are kept.

**The Slice-wise Region Removal Operator**

The rough segmentation of the skeleton can be carried out robustly by thresholding the CT images at the level of 150 HU. Then, the voxels of the skeleton can be used as landmarks in the axial slices of the CT image, since we know that the object of interest is attached to the skeleton of the animal. Thus, the last operator being introduced is the Slice-wise Region Removal Operator (SRRO), examining each axial slice of the image and removing the connected components that are not connected to the skeleton of the animal. Regarding the SRRO operator, connectivity means the conventional 8-connectivity.

**Usage of the Proposed Segmentation Method**

The operators introduced before implement well defined, but not too strict constraints on the voxels of the desired foreground object. Each of the operators can be considered as a weak classifier of the voxels of binary objects. The starting point of the final segmentation process is a simple thresholding at the level of 0 HU, providing a highly sensitive segmentation of the muscle. Then, the proposed operators are repeated iteratively to remove the foreground voxels that do not satisfy the criteria implemented in the operator. The iteration stops when more foreground voxel cannot be removed. From the resulting image the skeleton is subtracted and the remaining region is considered to be the segmented loin. Some volumetric images of the initial binary objects (segmented by thresholding) and the results of the proposed iterative method are visualized in Fig. 7.

**RESULTS**

During the development of the method the used dataset contained the CT images of 170 rabbits and the corresponding muscle weights. The mean weight of the dissected loin muscles was 143.17 ± 15.82 grams. The described segmentation technique was applied prior to extracting the loin
region. The results were tested computing the Pearson correlation coefficient between the volume of the loin area and the post-mortem measured loin weight ($r = 0.86$) and applying linear regression model (Loin muscle weight (g) = $0.6895 \times$ segmented loin muscle volume (cm$^3$) + 14.843). The linear regression model was tested with leave-one-out-cross-validation. The adjusted coefficient of determination was 0.737, while the RMSE of cross validation was 8.18 g using R-project software (Fig. 8).

**DISCUSSION**

A new segmentation technique was proposed for extracting the loin muscle from the CT images of rabbits. The segmentation technique was validated using the weights of the loin fillets: a linear regression model was fitted to the volumes of the regions and the coefficient of determination became $R^2 = 0.737$, which is highly acceptable value in the field, considering that the CT images of living animals were studied using without any anaesthetics and minor movements may have occurred.

The accuracy of the *in vivo* animal examination mainly depends on the acquisition protocol, immobilisation (presence of motion artefacts, positioning), the examined ROI (region of interest), the spatial resolution of the images and the segmentation method (Font-i-Furnols et al., 2015; Scholz et al., 2015).

Ideally, the movement artefact is caused only by the beating heart and breathing of the animal. In anaesthesia, we only have to count on those, but it is not possible to perform in our selection work. Therefore, there are some small movements and changes in posture on the images. These circumstances can make difficult the accurate segmentation. An early study – under similar circumstances – reports an estimation of chicken breast weight by CT with the best calculated correlation coefficient of 0.76 (Svihus and Katie, 1993).

The higher body weight with significant amounts of muscle mass are beneficial as well, because higher muscle volume to voxel size (spatial resolution) ratio resulted more precise estimation of primal cuts ($R^2 > 0.9$) in pigs (Lambe et al., 2013; Font-i-Furnols, 2015). Rabbits are at a disadvantage in terms of body weight.
On the other hand, an animal breeding program needs a quick method for the selection of the best animals as soon as possible after the CT scanning. The segmentation method should be simple and/or well automated. Some methods using different measurements as muscle or fat thicknesses, perimeters and areas (Jones et al., 2002; Lambe et al., 2013; Carabús et al., 2014; Matics et al., 2014; Font-i-Furnols et al., 2015) on the scans. These results were obtained semi-automatically or manually. The selection program of Pannon White rabbit started in 1995 by means of CT (Matics et al., 2014) using this idea. Since the first-generation CT scanners were much slower than nowadays, therefore only two cross sectional images were reconstructed at the levels of the 2nd–3rd and 3rd–4th lumbar vertabrae. The basis of the selection was the so-called L-value calculated from the loin muscle areas of these two scans (Matics et al., 2014). The correlation coefficient between the L-value and the loin muscle weight was 0.7 which means determination coefficient value of 0.49 (Romvári et al., 1996; Szendrő et al., 2012). In 2003, the selection criterium was changed from loin muscle to the thigh muscles, considering the muscles of the hind legs approximately two and a half times heavier than the loin area. The hind parts of the rabbits were scanned and 10 mm thick overlapping slices (approx. 10–12 scans) were reconstructed. A simple threshold method (40–120 HU) was used from the level of the caudal end of the hip bone to the level of the knee joint (Romvári et al., 1996; Nagy et al., 2006; Szendrő et al., 2012). Nowadays, as a consequence of the technical advances, the CT scanners are able to provide even submillimeter slice thickness from the whole rabbit body in a 15–20 seconds scanning time. Respecting this, we targeted again the loin muscle volume to the selection program. CT based estimation of the loin weights in living rabbits have not been reported with the accuracy we have gained with the proposed techniques.

CONCLUSION

An automated post-processing pipeline was developed for in vivo estimation of loin muscle mass in rabbits using CT scans. The described segmentation technique was validated using the weights of the loin fillets. A linear regression model was fitted to the volumes of the segmented regions ($R^2$ 0.737). The proposed techniques provide acceptable efficiency to be involved in the breeding selection program of rabbits.
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