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Abstract


This paper deals with the importance of financial strategy development of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in the winery industry. The main objective of the paper is to identify the current financial strategy of small and medium-sized enterprises and afterwards to propose changes that lead to new financial strategy. The research methods are the selected methods of financial analysis, collecting data about the research sample of SMEs, modelling the financial strategy with the help of Vensim program and further simulation of this model in business practice. The model derives from the previous research activities. The purpose of this paper is also to verify the usage of theoretically created model in small and medium-sized entrepreneurship and find the optimal financial strategy in the area examined. The results of this paper show the selected area of small and medium-sized entrepreneurship uses mainly the financial strategy of maximum liquidity (conservative strategy) in all observed years (2010–2014). This means the selected research sample of SMEs do not use progressive investment strategy with a further development. This result could highlight that SMEs in agricultural sector do not meet the financial strategy with corporate strategy focused on other business development. It is recommended to change this strategy into balanced financial strategy focused on higher profitability that could be used for the other expansion and development of the company.
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INTRODUCTION

The area of small and medium-sized entrepreneurship (hereinafter SME) is emerging and gaining popularity with its increasing importance in the whole business field and economy (Cravo et al., 2015). The SME is a term which currently raises interest of academic as well as professional public and it happens worldwide and also in the Czech Republic. This paper focuses on the analysis and evaluation of the current financial condition and financial strategy of the selected agricultural companies. “The agricultural sector is included among the very sensitive areas of the economy, as it has its specifics that must be respected, such as the seasonal character of production, a high level of dependence on natural conditions, as well as the production structure” (Aulová, Hlavsa, 2013, p. 24).

The main reason for focusing on agricultural companies is the fact that not many researches were provided in this area and the financing of these selected companies is specified due to subsidies, donations and other financial interventions, which regulates agricultural market. The results of the research (Špička, Boudný, Janotová, 2009) indicate that the current subsidies have an impact on the stability of the farmers’ income and furthermore, the current subsidies reduce the variability of the farmers’ income.

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework consists of financial strategy elements and possible models in financial
management or financial strategy. The second part is dedicated to the current trends of small and medium-sized entrepreneurship and its possibilities of strategic financing and the last part summarizes the current literature review of financing and economics of agricultural companies as the main research sample consists of SME in agricultural sector. However, it is surprising that only little space in monographies and articles is dedicated to the financial strategy as a whole. Therefore, the literature review has to be consisted of only well-known information about the issue examined.

“Finance, traditionally, has been at the periphery of the strategic planning and innovative processes, gatekeepers of financial data as opposed to integral members of the process. With changes occurring in the finance and accounting professions, this categorization is shifting, and with the integration of strategy and a more comprehensive view of financial performance, there is an emerging trend towards a more integrated corporate finance function” (Smith, 2014, p. 20). The role of finance in operating decisions is primarily one of valuation and monitoring. Finance helps managers evaluate the operational alternatives available to them, and helps them monitor the decisions that are implemented (Narayanan and Nanda, 2004, p. 6). The increasing importance of strategic management of all business activities, new challenges for manager is coming. The financial strategy needs to be understood in comprehensive insight and as a key element of successful financial strategy.

Financial management can be defined as a subjective economic activity engaged in obtaining a needed quantity of funds from various sources of funding, allocation of funds to various forms of non-monetary assets and the distribution of profit in order to maximize the market value of the company (Valach et al., 1999, p. 14). Strategic financial management consists of “financial strategies which are goals, patterns or alternatives designed to improve and optimize financial management in order to achieve corporate results” where financial strategy “represents a path to achieve and maintain business competitiveness and position a company as a world-class organization” (Salazar et al., 2012). The main financial objectives are usually based on maximizing of market value, optimizing of the capital risk, maintaining the financial stability including the liquidity, profitability or cash flow (Kalouda, 2009; Valach, 2006). According to Kalouda (2009, p. 12), financial management can be seen as a subset of corporate finance, which is used as a critical tool of corporate finance.

According to Nývltová and Marinči (2010, p. 13), financial management involves the following principles: principle of respecting the time factor, principle of cash flows, principle of net present value, principle of consideration of risk or principle of optimizing the capital structure. Růčková and Roubičková (2012, p. 141) report that one of the fundamental problems of financial management is to set the total optimal amount of capital as well as choosing the right mix of financing its activities, i.e. capital structure. Modern financial management is based on the assumption to meet the main objectives of the company. The basic pillars of financial management are following (Synek et al., 1999): active use of financial resources and opportunities, defining financial strategies, high autonomy of decision-making at lower levels, application of financial management at all level of corporate management, creating plans and budgets in a close cooperation of all departments, conducting high quality analyses and implementation of the necessary measures.

The main stages of financial management are following (Calandro and Flynn, 2007): 1) strategy formulation, or the determination of how to satisfy customer preferences in unique ways, 2) resource allocation, or the process of finding and staffing strategic initiatives that are tied to delivering customer satisfaction, 3) performance measurement, or an assessment of the relative success or failure of business activities. The practical applications of financial management can be distinguished into three main groups of decision-making (Ogilvie, 2009, p. 14): investment decisions, financing decisions and dividend decisions - which reflect the responsibilities of acquiring financial resources and managing those resources.

The financial strategy is defined as a relatively coherent and interconnected set of strategic financial objectives, criteria and rules that underlie such planning (Landa, Polák, 2008). According to Bender and Ward (2012), financial strategy has two components: (1) the raising of funds needed by an organization in the most appropriate manner and (2) managing the employment of those funds within the organization, including the decision to reinvest or distribute any subsequent generated. The main purpose of setting up the financial strategy is to find the balance among controlling mechanisms, high company performance and minimizing the cost of financial operation to reach the effective management of all three mentioned financial areas (Irwin, 2005). Financial strategy is understood as a form of functional strategy that meets to main corporate and business strategy of the company and is derived from the long-term period and closely relates to the investment activities. The main stages of financial management are following (Calandro, Flynn, 2007): 1) strategy formulation, or the determination of how to satisfy customer preferences in unique ways, 2) resource allocation, or the process of funding and staffing strategic initiatives that are tied to delivering customer satisfaction, 3) performance measurement, or an assessment of the relative success or failure of business activities.

The area of finance is declared by 93% of Czech companies as the crucial for the evaluation of corporate performance (Stříteská, Svooboda, 2012). The main objective of financial planning
is to ensure the need amount of capital that uses the prerequisite minimizing the cost of capital and optimal capital structure. Decision-making on capital structure means to decide whether to use internal or external sources (Fabozzi, Neave and Zhou, 2011, p. 540). The optimal capital structure is a mix of long-term funds, which minimize the overall cost of capital (Jindřichovská, 2001, p. 183). For such reason, the structure of a business must be designed with the objective of its optimization, i.e. with the securing of sufficient capital with minimum costs expended for it (Nývltová, Mariníč, 2010). According to Chmeličková (2014), the using of other then own capital brings following shortcomings: the cost of financial distress or costs incurred in relationships between managers, owners and creditors (the agency cost). The long-term financial decision-making is based on the investments and business development; the short-term financial decision-making is based on managing the components of working capital. Financial strategy is then necessary to edit, update and manage on the basis of changes in the external financial environment and significantly affect the financial stability of the company and contribute to the growth and efficiency of the enterprise and maximization of its market value (Grassecová et al., 2010). In accordance with the trade-off theory there is a positive correlation between company size and the probability of its bankruptcy, so there is a positive correlation between the company size and indebtedness (Strýčková, 2015).

Tools of financial strategy are following: financial analysis, planning, optimizing the financial structure, financial criteria to evaluate the effectiveness of managerial decision-making, cash-flow management, management of receivables and liabilities, budgeting, controlling. Financial strategy, as a separate branch and one type of functional strategy, is considered to be an integral part of corporate and business strategy. General financial components of the financial strategy are the main types of financial policies: investment policy focusing on the promotion of economic efficiency of investment projects, policy of financing (external and internal) business activities, policy of managing the assets and liabilities (credit policy), policy of inventory management, policy of cash flow and liquidity management, policy of operating result management, policy of cost control and profit. Three steps to set up a successful financial strategy are following (Mallete, 2006): Step 1 – Establish appropriate financial capital structure, following which a determination would be made of the magnitude of its cash surplus; Step 2 – Understand whether a company is undervalued or overvalued in the market, by examining investors’ expectations from growth, margins, investments and other financial measures; Step 3 – Develop a financial strategy, to be proposed to the Board for approval, ensuring the company’s operations are sufficiently funded, that financial balance is achieved, and that its growing cash reserve is deployed appropriately.

According net working capital, three basic financing strategies are then distinguished to (Režňáková, 2012, p. 107–108): Aggressive financial strategy – In case of aggressive financial strategy, net working capital was negative. The part of long-term assets is financed by short-term resources. These situations occur in a period of rapid business growth, extensive investment or withhold payments to suppliers; Conservative financial strategy – A firm that applies this financial strategy also uses the long-term sources of financing to finance seasonal fluctuations in current assets. Here, it is typical lax approach to inventory management and collection of its receivables or prompt payment of liabilities to suppliers. This may result in reducing the return on invested capital; Balanced financial strategy – In this case, consistency between the maturity of financial sources with a lifetime of assets in the company is ensured.

According to Živělová (2014, p. 12), the financial strategy is understood as strategic financial operations that ensure achieving strategic financial objectives of a specified period. Strategic financial operations include mainly investment decision-making and decision on long-term financing. These operations deal with the financial business activities in long-term period, they relate to capital-intensive operations, brings major changes in the financing of the company that are associated with significant risks. Along with short-term operations, they make up the content of corporate financial strategy. The long-term strategic investment financing should follow three basic objectives (Hrdý and Šimek, 2012, p. 110): provide economically justified budgeted capital at the anticipated investment, complying with the required rate of return, to achieve the lowest possible cost, not to disrupt financial stability.

The main impacts on the financial strategy could be observed in internal and external constraints (Ogilvie, 2009, p. 22). The main argument is the issue of optimizing capital structure, in which a certain level of indebtedness creates the effect of tax shield and leverage. Against this statement is the fact that the increasing level of indebtedness causes higher risk of financial instability. Traditional theories declare that can be planned and managed to maximize of value of the company. On the other hand, the Miller-Modigliani model has proved that the capital structure is for a company marginal, because it is determined mainly by real assets and investments decision-makings. Financial managers have to formulate a policy that balances the effect of these opposing features (external and internal constraints), (Ogilvie, 2009, p. 22–23). The external constraints are: government influence, regulatory bodies, major economic influences, accounting concepts, sources of finance and their cost when determining capital structure policy. Internal constraints on financial strategy include: limited access to source of finance, the need to maintain good investor relations and provide a satisfactory
return on investment, a shortage of key skills, limited production capacity.

**Importance of Small and Medium-sized Enterprises**

To define SMEs, the various quantitative or qualitative qualification criteria are used. Quantitative criteria for determining size enterprises are mainly: the number of employees, annual turnover, balance sheet total, the volume of production, amount of capital or the amount of profit. In contrast, the qualitative criteria use the material characteristics typical for designating the size group of enterprises, i.e. the personnel structure, ownership and management of the company, capital constraints or economic strength. The most often division of SME is usually derived from Regulation of the European Commission No. 70/2001 that is following.

The United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) classifies size enterprises based on the number of employees (Eliaian, 1996), especially for developing countries (i.e. micro-enterprises with 1–4 employees, small enterprise with 5–19 employees, a large enterprise with 20–99 employees) and particularly for developed countries (i.e. small enterprise with 1–99 employees, medium-sized enterprises with 100 to 499 employees). Each enterprise must meet at least two values. Steel and Webster (1992) or Osei et al. (1993) agreed on a classification defining micro enterprises (with less than 6 employees), very small enterprises (with 6 – 9 employees) and small enterprises (with 10 – 29 employees). The following chart (see Fig. 1) demonstrates the development of SMEs in the CR among 2009 – 2014.

SMEs employ 80 million citizens of the European Union and make up every other newly created job. Small and medium-sized enterprises represent 99 % of European enterprises, which generate about 70% of all jobs and 60% of EU GDP. SMEs represent 99.84% of the total number of enterprises in the Czech Republic (MPO ČR, 2015). SMEs secure 59.39% of employment, participate in the performance and value added of more than 53.11%, creating GDP more than 37% (Srpová, Rehoř et al., 2010; MPO ČR 2015).

In comparison to large enterprises, SMEs are able to relatively better adapt to the changing needs of consumers. Their flexibility allows a rapid adaptation to change. Comacchio et al. (2012) suggest that the endowment of human capital at individual level and social capital at individual and organizational levels are the main determinants for SMEs in the task coordination activities implied by a boundary spanning role. SMEs can find very valuable development from external sources through partially revealing their internal development to external environment (Henkel 2006). SMEs usually have a simple organizational structure with a very small number of management levels, which enables shorter and quicker flow of information (Zuzek, 2015). SMEs benefit from close relationship with their customers as well as their employees – they are not solving the problems with communication barriers such as the large enterprises. Compared to large enterprises SMEs have less economic power. SMEs have usually

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category of enterprise</th>
<th>Number of Employees</th>
<th>Annual Turnover</th>
<th>Balance Sheet Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Micro enterprise</td>
<td>&lt;10 employees</td>
<td>≤2 mil. EUR</td>
<td>≤2 mil. EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small enterprise</td>
<td>&lt;50 employees</td>
<td>≤10 mil. EUR</td>
<td>≤10 mil. EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium enterprise</td>
<td>&lt;250 employees</td>
<td>≤50 mil. EUR</td>
<td>≤43 mil. EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>&gt;250 employees</td>
<td>&gt;50 mil. EUR</td>
<td>&gt;43 mil. EUR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Regulation of the European Commission No. 70/2001*
short history and are thus too risky for banks. For this reason, SMEs have more difficult access to capital. SMEs act against the strengthening of monopolistic tendencies (Srpová, Řehoř et al., 2010). The existence of SMEs stabilizes the whole society. SMEs are more sensitive to changes in their surroundings. SMEs face certain obstacles in the high growth areas of finance, taxation, regulations, corruption and anti-competitive practices (Schiffer, Weder, 2001). According to Yusof and Aspinwall (2000), a weakness of SMEs is often unintended suppression of teamwork by absence of delegation. Another problem is a limited range of experience and knowledge especially in management and marketing, obsolete technical equipment and technological backwardness. The other shortcomings could be also limited innovative capacity and low expenses on research and development and high administrative burden that restrict the development of SME. The main reasons of failure or bankruptcy in SME are defined in Tab. II.

Source: own elaboration based on (Šebestová, 2005; Barrow, 1996; Vojík, 2006; Strokes, Wilson, 2010; Analoui, Karami, 2003; Carter, Jones-Evans, 2012) “The main causes of business failure are the lack of financial planning, limited access to funding, lack of capital, unplanned growth, low strategic and financial projection, excessive fixed-asset investment and capital mismanagement” (Salazar, Soto, Mosqueda, 2012). Studies show that, despite the importance of strategic thinking and implementation on the conduct of financial management in SMEs which have to operate in contexts of high risks and uncertainty with limited resources, SME owner/managers regard production/service or marketing functions as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason of failure in SME</th>
<th>Characterization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undercapitalization</td>
<td>Underestimating the amount of capital that is needed for smooth functioning of the enterprise and also for ensuring the challenging position on the market.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient cash flow</td>
<td>One of the most common failures of SME is bad debts of customers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The missing competitive advantage</td>
<td>It should be based on strong competitive and unique strategy and unique innovative and competitive product or service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncontrolled expansion</td>
<td>If the enterprise is expanding too fast without previous planning and preparedness, it could lead to problem, i.e. unsatisfied demand, lack of cash flow etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient experience and knowledge on the side of managers and entrepreneurs.</td>
<td>One of the most often factor of failure in SME complemented with lack of contacts and orientation in industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The absence of production and business strategy</td>
<td>Before starting a business, it is needed to know what the target group of enterprise is.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient marketing</td>
<td>The created marketing strategy has to determine their potential customer and why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overly optimistic idea of the size of the market</td>
<td>Starting entrepreneur cannot do business without marketing research, he must find out who his competitors and predict the future development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underestimating the choice of the appropriate time to start a business</td>
<td>It is necessary to estimate the necessary for an establishment, its equipment and inventory purchase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrong seat of business</td>
<td>For business it is an important place of business and the amount of rent for this place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The selection and training of staff</td>
<td>Large companies can afford to make mistakes when choosing personnel; small businesses cannot afford such a luxury.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic aspects</td>
<td>Fiscal policy of the country, prices of inputs, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technological aspects</td>
<td>Underestimation of investment and technological demands of business.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply aspects</td>
<td>Miscalculation of strategic plans of suppliers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External aspects</td>
<td>Conditions of financial institutions in the provision of banking services, increased costs of logistics services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal aspects</td>
<td>The personal attributes, skills and competencies of the individual owner-manager are crucial to how well the business faces up to the inevitable crises.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
priorities particularly in the startup phase of new ventures, which eventually results with poor financial management, and in most cases failure of business (Jindřichovská, 2013; Karadag, 2015). It is also reported that, SME owners or entrepreneurs, until recently have a general tendency to overlook the elements of strategic management (Zimmerer, Scarborough, 2005), whereas the lack of “strategic outlook” in the financial issues is a major threat to the longevity of SMEs as “many of the factors that contribute to failure can be managed properly with strategies and financial decisions that drive growth and the organization’s objectives” (Salazar, Soto, Mosqueda, 2012).

Research (Stokes, Wilson, 2010; Analoui, Karami, 2003; Deakins, Freele, 2012; Pavláš, 2013) provides evidence that the success of SME depends more upon the policies which it adopts than the buoyancy of the markets in which it operates. External influences are less important than individual factors, particularly management behaviors and competencies and the personal attributes to cope with SME environment. SMEs have to use innovations and attempt to re-engineer their operations in order to respond to the environmental changes and market requirements. According to the research (Holárová, Březinová, Kanterová, 2015), majority of examined Czech SMEs (60%) had formulated strategy. Based on tested data the most frequent followed strategy is quality and stabilization, regardless of employees number category or business activity. The Quality Council of the Czech Republic and the Association of Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises in the CR (ASMP ČR, 2011) introduced a survey (realized in 2011) among 541 Czech SMEs focusing on their opinion on competitiveness, barriers to entrepreneurship and innovation and the use of modern management methods. Almost half of SMEs see the greatest obstacle to business in a strong competition. Other significant barriers are the little state support and legislative restrictions (25%). Only 3% of entrepreneurs see the barrier in the outdated management methods. 98% of respondents considered the strategic business management for its long-term competitiveness as important. On the other hand, 77% of SMEs actively do not know any modern method of management and almost the same percentage of SMEs do not use any modern method of management.

**Agricultural SMEs and its financial strategy**

Literature review (2010–2016) about financial condition and performances of agricultural companies is not dedicated to this issue (Svatošová, 2015). Aulová and Hlava (2013) explored the positive or negative effect of selected determinants (size of the business, profitability, tangibility, non-debt tax shield, retained profits and liquidity) on the capital structure of businesses, expressed by way of three categories of indebtedness among the selected agricultural companies with the help of regression analysis. Details about financing from EU funds have been recently provided among Czech agricultural companies (Homolka, Švecová, 2012). These findings said that differentiation of business activity, in the form of processing of raw materials, decreases dependency of the economic results on donations or subsidies. The research (Malá, 2011) was focused on the efficiency of organic agricultural companies compared with conventional agricultural companies. The research has confirmed the less efficiency of organic agricultural companies that have to be subsidized. Čechura (2012) identifies the key factors determining the efficiency of input use and the total factor productivity development. Another research (Venclová, Šalková, Koláčková, 2013) focused on the methods of the employee performance in the selected agricultural companies. This research has confirmed that agricultural companies apply selected methods of employee appraisal. The research (Davidova, Latruffe, 2007) provides the first analysis of the relationship between farm financial structure and technical efficiency in Central and Eastern European farming during the transition to a market economy shows that corporate livestock farms are the most homogeneous in terms of technical efficiency. Another research (Špička, 2014) is dedicated to the agricultural companies indirectly with the focus on the evaluation production efficiency and its determinants of mixed crop and livestock farming among the EU regions. The Slovakian research (Adamišin, Kotulič, 2013) explores whether the change of legal status can influence the reached economic performance of the subjects. This research found out business companies show a higher economic success evaluated through the selected economic indicators than cooperatives even with subsidies. The previous researches were focused on Czech or Slovakian agricultural companies and no relevant data or researches about financial conditions of agricultural companies in last five years were founded for other European Union countries. Afterwards, the financial strategy among SMEs has been studied; however, no relevant comprehensive literature review is dealing with examined area. Therefore, this paper could offer a new scope of research activities.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The main objective of this paper and this research is to identify the current financial strategy of small and medium sized enterprises afterwards to propose changes that lead to new financial strategy. For this case, the selected research sample of small and medium-sized enterprises have been selected. The basic research method to fulfill this objective is modelling the financial strategy in Vensim program and further simulation the possible changes. The financial strategy model derives from the previous research activities of the author.
The main purpose of this model is to find theoretical and practical comprehensive insight on setting up a concrete financial strategy and impact of possible financial changes on overall financial strategy. Modelling is the process, in which with the help of abstraction simplifies the process of understanding the reality investigated. Model can then examine the behaviour of the system by changing the input parameters. "A business model should describe how an organization creates and provides real economic and social value. It is a tool that enables an executive team to experiment with different ideas and scenarios and to predict outputs in a safe low-risk environment" (Marsh, 2013, p. 11). "Financial models are tools used for making investment strategies; the examples show the importance of developing the appropriate financial models for the purpose and for understanding the assumptions used in each financial model (Thomas and Sang, 2003, p. 3)." Afterwards, the method of simulation is used, which is a process of creating a real system implementation and experiment with this model in order to achieve a better understanding of the behaviour of the system and to assess various options of its activities. The other research methods are financial analysis of selected variables and studying of documents and relevant resources for building up the dynamic financial strategy model. The Vensim program can demonstrate values of dependent and independent variables, their changes in time and their impact on the desired results.

Research Sample

The research sample consists of the small and medium-sized enterprises. The research sample was selected according to the database of economic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Enterprise</th>
<th>Seat</th>
<th>Est.</th>
<th>Scope of Activity</th>
<th>Number of Employees</th>
<th>Assets* (2014)</th>
<th>EAT* (2014)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. AGRA horníDunajovice a.s.</td>
<td>horníDunajovice 38</td>
<td>20/11/2000</td>
<td>01210</td>
<td>50–99</td>
<td>242050</td>
<td>4671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. AGRA Olbramovice, a.s.</td>
<td>Olbramovice 130</td>
<td>22/2/1994</td>
<td>11020</td>
<td>10–19</td>
<td>206343</td>
<td>11068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. AGROLIP, a.s.</td>
<td>Lipov 560</td>
<td>6/3/1996</td>
<td>11020</td>
<td>50–99</td>
<td>124985</td>
<td>7949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Horákovafarma, a.s.</td>
<td>Čejč 1</td>
<td>20/9/2000</td>
<td>11020</td>
<td>50–99</td>
<td>99102</td>
<td>7211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. NOEKLAS a.s.</td>
<td>Šardice 700</td>
<td>12/12/1995</td>
<td>11020</td>
<td>50–99</td>
<td>313086</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. VINO P a.s.</td>
<td>Polešovice 446</td>
<td>25/10/1999</td>
<td>11020, 01210</td>
<td>10–19</td>
<td>41729</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. ZEAS Polešovice, a.s.</td>
<td>Polešovice 308</td>
<td>30/10/1997</td>
<td>11020</td>
<td>25–49</td>
<td>199093</td>
<td>6002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: own based on ARES and financial statements of selected enterprises, * in thousands CZK
subjects ARES (see http://www.info.mfcr.cz/ares/).
The main criteria for the selection were: legal form of business as the joint stock company, the residence is in the Czech Republic and the scope of business is based on vegetable production (based on CZ-NACE: 01210: Growing and cultivation of wine grapes and 11020: Production of wine from wine grapes) and the existence of the company is longer than 10 years with minimum 10 employees. According to these criteria, 28 agricultural companies were founded; 4 of them had to be excluded due to incomplete information in financial statements or annual report and 3 of them due to their liquidation process. The research sample counted on 21 agricultural companies; 11 of them are dealing only with production of wine from wine grapes, 4 of them are dealing with only with growing and cultivation of wine grapes and remaining 6 them are dealing with both scopes of activity (01210 and 11020). The main reason for the selection of those criteria is a duty to publish the financial statements as the legal entities in terms of Commercial register (see www.justice.cz), focusing on the Czech environment and its production. The results of this research are served as the preliminary research of the financial management in Czech agricultural companies. The following Tab. III serves a basic characterization of enterprises involved in the selected research sample.

In 2014, the area of vineyard in the Czech Republic formed 17.6 thousands ha; while the current production potential is at 19.6 thousands ha. In 2014,18.5 thousands of wine growers were registered in the Czech Republic. In 2014, wine growers harvested a total of 63,533 tons of grapes, which is 15% less than in the previous year. The yield grapes moved at 4.03 t / ha. Wine production in the Czech Republic is moving in the last three years, around 550 thousand hl/year. 2/3 of total production is created by white wines and remaining 1/3 is created by red wines. A total number of imported wines to the CR was about 1383 thousands hl and exports of wine products from the Czech Republic amounted to about 170 thousand hl. Regarding the current structure of vineyards in the Czech Republic according to their size and the number of growers, 31% of the total number of growers manages vineyards areas to 0.1 ha. Sum of the areas of these small vineyards, however, accounts for only 4% of the total vineyard area in the Czech Republic. On the other hand, there is a concentration of large area of vineyards in a small number of “large” growers. Growers with vineyards over 5 hectares of planted area is only 1% of the total number of growers, but they account for more than 40% of the total vineyard area in the Czech Republic. Wine production in the Czech Republic in the last three years is around 550 thousand hl/year. (Ministry of Agriculture, 2015)

Wineries can be defined as the food industry, which is engaged in processing from wine grape to wine and the other by-products of production. This sector continues to viticulture, which is a branch of agricultural production engaged in the cultivation of grape varieties intended for direct consumption, production of must or wine. Wine from grapes is ranked among one of the oldest known alcoholic beverages. At present, as well as other fields of food industry, the wine market is affected by the general trend towards the concentration of production and the emergence of large wine companies. Despite this trend, a large number of small and medium-sized manufacturers remains in traditional wine-growing countries and ensures the preservation of regional particularities of wines.

At present, the Czech market is around 850 wine producers. This industry is made up of more small and medium-sized enterprises. There is a large representation of small winemakers, which distribute its products primarily locally at the production site. The clear market leader in the Czech Republic is Bohemia Sekt, s.r.o. S. A large proportion also has winery Znovín Znojmo, a.s. and VINIUM, a.s. Velké Pavlovice. The winery market also creates a number of smaller companies and a large number of small winemakers (mainly operating locally). Although large companies determine trends in the wine sector, the smaller manufacturers use close contact with the customer, allowing them to react flexibly to the current market situation. Large wine companies may apply higher market competitive power, which can provide a lower redemption price of inputs and also have a better bargaining position to distribute their products. The small enterprises have an advantage in greater proportion of human labour and raw material and using traditional methods, which many consumers prefer. The smaller enterprises obviously use a smaller share of mechanization, as well as a lower rate of using chemicals, etc., which is a benefit not only for consumers but also for the environment.

The Basis for Creating the Dynamic Financial Strategy Model

This model derives from the basic principles of financial analysis that explores the profitability, liquidity and the cost and capital efficiency and that has been already simulated for the selected enterprise (Svatošová, 2015). The results are served for evaluation and simulation of current financial strategy in the selected research sample of enterprises. For creating the dynamic financial strategy model, the selected variables of financial analysis were used (see Tab. IV) – i.e. ROE and ROA as a basic variables of profitability, Total (Current) Liquidity as a complex liquidity, Long-term Coverage (Level of Capitalization) and WACC as a complex variable for cost and capital efficiency evaluation. The main purpose of selecting these formulas is their comprehensive insight on the overall financial situation.

The possible limitations of this model could be founded in setting the cost of equity and cost of
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Debt in the variable WACC. Costs of capital are expenditures of the company that must be paid to obtain different forms of capital (Billet and Dolly, 2007, p. 113). Cost of equity is usually set by several methods (Dluhošová, 2006, p. 110). In case of dynamic financial strategy model, the modular model was selected, because is more universal for companies that are not trading on capital market and that is more suitable in Czech companies (based on INFA methodology, details see MPO ČR, 2015).

Afterwards, the scoring evaluation for individual variables in financial strategy model was determined (see Tab. V). Based on received values of individual variables, the set points on interval 1 – 5 are determined, where 5 means the excellent result and 1 means very bad result. The selected values and set points of individual variables are inspired by Kralicek Quick test – mainly the values of profitability ROE and ROA (Sedláček, 2001, p. 125), the total liquidity is based on this source (Kislingerová, 2007, p. 368), the values of long-term coverage is based on this source (Fotr et al., 2012, p. 174; Sedláček, 2001) and the values of WACC as the variable of cost and capital efficiency is based on the practice.

Final results of the dynamic strategy model are pointed as an arithmetic average of received points of set variables of profitability, liquidity and cost of capital (see Tab. V). Based on received total points (see Tab. VI), the final financial strategy is determined. When the model shows the highest points (4 – 5), the strategy of maximum profitability and progressive expansion is given, when the results are on interval 3 – 3.9, the strategy of proportional profitability and liquidity is determined, when the results are on interval 2 – 2.9, the strategy of maximum liquidity should be selected and when the company reaches the critical values between 1 – 1.9, the crisis and rescue strategy should be used. A detail description of individual strategies is given in Tab. III. The concrete financial strategies in terms of financial strategy model are inspired by these sources (Režňáková, 2012; Živělová, 2014).

Based on the information above, the financial strategy model without dynamics (in Vensim program) was created (see Fig. 2). In this model, we can see direct links of dependent and independent selected variables that have direct impact on final results of financial strategy.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Firstly, the financial analysis of 21 observed enterprises has been provided (for years 2010, 2012 a 2014). Tab. VII provides data of 21 selected enterprises such an arithmetic mean, median, standard deviation, minimum and maximum value. The results are influenced by imperfections due to using arithmetic mean for each variable and also by the fact that accruals and deferrals have been not

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IV: Variables in financial strategy model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Return on Equity (ROE) ( \text{ROE} = \frac{\text{EAT}}{\text{Equity}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on Assets (ROA) ( \text{ROA} = \frac{\text{EAT}}{\text{Equity}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liquidity ( \text{Total Liquidity} = \frac{\text{Current Assets}}{\text{Short – term Liabilities and Credits}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Coverage ( \text{Level of Capitalization} = \frac{\text{Equity + Long – term Liabilities and Credits + Reserves}}{\text{Total Assets}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WACC (Weighted Average Cost of Capital) ( \text{WACC} = \frac{\text{RF} + \frac{\text{E}}{\text{C}} \times \text{RE}}{\text{C}} + \text{RD} \times (1 - \text{t}) \times \frac{\text{D}}{\text{C}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Strategy Model ( \text{Financial Strategy} = \frac{\text{ROE} + \text{ROA} + \text{Total Liquidity} + \text{Level of Capitalization} + \text{WACC}}{5} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: own work (Svatošová, 2015)


---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V: Evaluation of variables in financial strategy according to points (1 – 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Excellent (5)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liquidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term coverage (level of capitalization)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WACC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: own work (Svatošová, 2015)
calculated in this financial analysis. An average value of assets reached almost CZK 183 million in 2014, i.e. 15.6% more than in 2010. Median value reached over CZK 168 million in 2014, i.e. almost 36% more than in 2010. A percentage portion of current assets is approximately 45% and long-term assets approximately 55%. An average value of net income (EAT) was CZK 3.5 million, i.e. 1495% more than in 2010 when the EAT was CZK −252 thousands. Median value of EAT was CZK 4.67 million, i.e. 477% more than in 2010. These findings reflect an increasing strong financial position of observed enterprises. Based on the financial analysis, the observed enterprises focuses more on higher liquidity with reaching lower level of profitability. Nevertheless, only liquidity L3 reaches recommended values among 1.5–2.5. Liquidity L2 and L1 are under the recommended values, i.e. the observed enterprises reach lower values of inventories and short-term financial assets than it is required. This statement is supported by a long period of money turnover cycle that takes on average almost 86 days (in 2014); nevertheless, it was shortened by almost 36 days compared to year 2010. The average value inventory turnover period is approximately 180 days, receivable turnover period is nowadays 88 days (by 15 days less than in 2010) and suspension of payments period is approximately 180 days. The value of net working capital is decreasing (from average value CZK 35 million into CZK million).

The overall indebtedness of selected enterprises is approximately 45% and the level of self-financing is approximately 55%. The short-term indebtedness is approximately 25% and long-term indebtedness 15%. The results of financial analysis show that...
### Table: Financial Indicators for Years 2010, 2012, and 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>Arithmetic mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>157999</td>
<td>175108</td>
<td>182987</td>
<td>168796</td>
<td>180400</td>
<td>195595</td>
<td>13571</td>
<td>23571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>92438</td>
<td>98235</td>
<td>90513</td>
<td>80100</td>
<td>86317</td>
<td>80300</td>
<td>69200</td>
<td>77241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Debts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65270</td>
<td>75191</td>
<td>79381</td>
<td>60211</td>
<td>48864</td>
<td>67271</td>
<td>53127</td>
<td>25128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23017</td>
<td>30977</td>
<td>30117</td>
<td>18801</td>
<td>19550</td>
<td>19285</td>
<td>21008</td>
<td>33127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SBC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12918</td>
<td>16769</td>
<td>15220</td>
<td>1697</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>25128</td>
<td>31997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12052</td>
<td>12774</td>
<td>18992</td>
<td>6560</td>
<td>6694</td>
<td>7037</td>
<td>14522</td>
<td>15885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LBC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9809</td>
<td>7490</td>
<td>7985</td>
<td>1309</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16411</td>
<td>8775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EAT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−252</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>3516</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>4671</td>
<td>13360</td>
<td>8032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EBT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>658</td>
<td>2064</td>
<td>4489</td>
<td>1204</td>
<td>2083</td>
<td>5474</td>
<td>14449</td>
<td>8647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85897</td>
<td>96364</td>
<td>105256</td>
<td>70192</td>
<td>93523</td>
<td>115791</td>
<td>61462</td>
<td>64675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70908</td>
<td>77424</td>
<td>76410</td>
<td>45496</td>
<td>51479</td>
<td>46443</td>
<td>65768</td>
<td>64586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1209</td>
<td>1394</td>
<td>1287</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>2026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ROA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ROE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>19.31</td>
<td>10.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>L3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>L2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>L1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>7.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NWC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34973</td>
<td>29078</td>
<td>31075</td>
<td>24998</td>
<td>31485</td>
<td>25319</td>
<td>20526</td>
<td>20526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E ratio</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D ratio</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CA ratio</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FA ratio</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RTP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>103.05</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>88.15</td>
<td>135.64</td>
<td>109.58</td>
<td>99.72</td>
<td>73.65</td>
<td>53.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ITP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>184.75</td>
<td>193.1</td>
<td>176.14</td>
<td>166.72</td>
<td>151.26</td>
<td>166.19</td>
<td>178.97</td>
<td>169.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>166.42</td>
<td>200.23</td>
<td>178.47</td>
<td>145.11</td>
<td>108.47</td>
<td>129.04</td>
<td>201.44</td>
<td>238.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MTC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>121.37</td>
<td>84.66</td>
<td>85.81</td>
<td>157.25</td>
<td>152.37</td>
<td>136.86</td>
<td>51.18</td>
<td>−15.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:** Financial statements of selected enterprises.

the selected enterprises are undercapitalised in all observed years (the level of long-term coverage is approximately 0.75), i.e. a part of long-term assets is financed by short-term forms of financing. The current average value of cost of interest is CZK 1.287 million. Based on the results of financial analysis, it could be concluded the selected enterprises focus on higher liquidity that is safer and more conservative approach, on the other hand, they reach low profitability and have problem with short-term indebtedness and financing. This financial strategic approach could be an obstacle for long-term expansion and fulfilling a progressive and investment strategy.

The financial strategy model has been then simulated for identifying the current financial strategy among 21 winery enterprises in the selected research sample. For final evaluation, the arithmetic mean and median values of selected variables among 21 winery enterprises have been used (see Tab. VIII and Tab. IX). These tables compare the years 2010, 2012 a 2014. The individual variables are influenced by imperfections due to using arithmetic mean and median for each value and also by the fact that accruals and deferrals have been not calculated in model. Despite the imperfections of the model, the final simulation serves clear results about the current financial strategy among selected enterprises. When using arithmetic mean and median, all observed years show using a conservative strategy focused on higher liquidity and lower profitability. The financial strategy model was simulated for all observed years; the example of model simulation for selected research sample is given in Fig. 3 for arithmetic mean of used values and in Fig. 4 for median of used values. The simulation of financial strategy model has confirmed the statement from the previous financial

| VIII: Input data for creating the financial strategy model (for arithmetic mean of used values) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Issue/Year                                   | 2010  | 2012  | 2014  |
| Assets                                       | 156806| 172690| 180723|
| Fixed Assets                                 | 85897 | 96364 | 105256|
| Current Assets                               | 70909 | 76326 | 75467 |
| Inventories                                  | 39891 | 46045 | 44745 |
| Receivables                                  | 22249 | 21889 | 22192 |
| Short-term financial assets                  | 8769  | 8392  | 8330  |
| Equity                                       | 92437 | 98233 | 90513 |
| Registered capital                           | 73993 | 73993 | 65470 |
| Funds                                        | 25451 | 21314 | 18837 |
| Retained earnings                            | -6755 | 2109  | 2691  |
| Economic result (EAT)                        | -252  | 817   | 3515  |
| Debts                                        | 64585 | 76899 | 79105 |
| Reserves                                     | 6792  | 11770 | 6791  |
| Long-term liabilities                        | 23016 | 12773 | 18992 |
| Long-term bank credits                       | 9809  | 7490  | 7985  |
| Short-term liabilities                       | 12052 | 30797 | 30117 |
| Short-term bank credits                      | 12916 | 16769 | 15220 |
| Cost of interests                            | 1209  | 1394  | 1287  |
| t – tax rate                                 | 19%   | 19%   | 19%   |
| r1 – risk-free rate*                         | 3.32% | 2.31% | 1.58% |
| r2 – business risk*                          | 5.35% | 5.35% | 5.35% |
| r3 – risk premium of financial stability*    | 1.23% | 0%    | 2.95% |
| r4 – risk premium of company size*           | 2.28% | 2.32% | 2.35% |
| r5 – cost of equity                          | 12.58%| 9.98% | 12.23%|
| r6 – cost of debts                           | 4.31% | 4.46% | 4.49% |
| ROE                                          | -0.0027 (1) | 0.0083 (2) | 0.0388(2) |
| ROA                                          | -0.0016 (1) | 0.0047(2) | 0.0194 (2) |
| Total liquidity                              | 1.9773 (5) | 1.5986(4) | 1.6645(4) |
| Long-term coverage                           | 0.7722 (1) | 0.7543(1) | 0.6877 (1) |
| WACC                                         | 0.0854 (4) | 0.0719(4) | 0.0822(4) |
| Financial strategy                           | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Type of the financial strategy in current year | Strategy of maximum liquidity | Strategy of maximum liquidity | Strategy of maximum liquidity |
|                                              | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.6 |

Source: own work with help of Vensim program (Note: the amounts are given in thousands CZK)

*calculated according to INFA methodology (details see MPO CR, 2015), Ministry of Industry and Trade of the CR
### Financial Strategy – Wine producers (in 2014, arithmetic mean of used values)

Source: own in Vensim program

**IX: Input data for creating the financial strategy model (for median of used values)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue/Year</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assets</td>
<td>115996</td>
<td>144807</td>
<td>161690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Assets</td>
<td>70192</td>
<td>93523</td>
<td>115791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Assets</td>
<td>45804</td>
<td>51284</td>
<td>45899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>23551</td>
<td>27411</td>
<td>27411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables</td>
<td>19161</td>
<td>20198</td>
<td>16448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term financial assets*</td>
<td>3092</td>
<td>3675</td>
<td>2040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>74609</td>
<td>86317</td>
<td>80300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered capital</td>
<td>65750</td>
<td>65750</td>
<td>51000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds</td>
<td>7880</td>
<td>16341</td>
<td>21624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>1232</td>
<td>3194</td>
<td>3005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic result (EAT)</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>4671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debts</td>
<td>28367</td>
<td>26947</td>
<td>28322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term liabilities</td>
<td>6560</td>
<td>6694</td>
<td>7037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term bank credits</td>
<td>1309</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term liabilities</td>
<td>18801</td>
<td>19550</td>
<td>19285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term bank credits</td>
<td>1697</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of interests</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t – tax rate</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r_f – risk-free rate*</td>
<td>3.72%</td>
<td>2.31%</td>
<td>1.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r_b – business risk*</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r_p – risk premium of financial stability*</td>
<td>1.23%</td>
<td>17.42%</td>
<td>2.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r_p_c – risk premium of company size*</td>
<td>2.28%</td>
<td>2.32%</td>
<td>2.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r_c – cost of equity</td>
<td>12.23%</td>
<td>27.05%</td>
<td>11.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r_d – cost of debts</td>
<td>15.52%</td>
<td>68.33%</td>
<td>29.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROE</td>
<td>0.0129 (2)</td>
<td>0.0119 (2)</td>
<td>0.0581 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROA</td>
<td>0.0084 (2)</td>
<td>0.0071 (2)</td>
<td>0.0289 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liquidity</td>
<td>2.2346 (5)</td>
<td>2.565 (5)</td>
<td>2.1564 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term coverage</td>
<td>0.7217 (1)</td>
<td>0.6441 (1)</td>
<td>0.5402 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WACC</td>
<td>0.1232 (4)</td>
<td>0.3371 (1)</td>
<td>0.1493 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial strategy</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Type of the financial strategy in current year**

- Strategy of maximum liquidity
- Strategy of maximum liquidity
- Strategy of maximum liquidity

Source: own work with help of Vensim program (Note: the amounts are given in thousands CZK)

*calculated according to INFA methodology (details see MPO CR, 2015), Ministry of Industry and Trade of the CR
strategy that the selected enterprise focuses on higher liquidity (especially be higher volume of receivables) with reaching lower profitability and a certain level of undercapitalization. This may be a reason for impossibility to be expanded in the long-term period because of low profitability and lack of financial assets that could realize the investment and progressive strategy.

Recommendations and Financial Strategy Changes

For the improving the financial situation and changing the financial strategy in the selected enterprises, the dynamic financial strategy model has been for arithmetic values created (for the year 2014; see Fig. 5). This model demonstrates changes in fixed assets (by CZK 1 million in each month), short-term financial assets (by CZK 2 million in each month), EAT (by CZK 1 million in each month) and registered capital (by CZK 1 million in each month) and funds (by CZK 1 million in each month) and cost of equity (by 0.1% based on estimation, because this variable is hard to predict) during the 36 months. We suppose a raping increasing in Equity during 36 months due to increasing of registered capital (e.g. by entering new investor) and receiving subsidies from EU funds or other governmental agencies. These own sources of financing will be used for buying new fixed asset enabling a rapid increasing in production and for increasing short-term assets for improving financial conditions of selected enterprises. New investments into fixed assets and entering new investor require a rapid and quick capital appreciation in the form of net income. The simulation of these changes has caused a change of conservative financial strategy into balanced financial strategy focusing on improving all levels of liquidity and profitability.

These changes improved the value of ROE from 3.88% into 13.22% (from pointed evaluation 2 into 3) in 36 months, the value of ROA from 1.94% into 13.48% (from pointed evaluation 2 into 4) into 36 months. The improvement was also noticed in total liquidity from 1.66 into 3.25 (from pointed evaluation 4 into 5) and level of capitalization from 0.69 into 0.8 (the pointed evaluation 1 was not changed) in 36 months. The level of WACC was increased from 8.22% into 12.35% (the pointed evaluation 4 was not changed) in 36 months. The final average evaluation of model has been changed from 2.6 into 3.4 that reflect a balanced strategy.

Very similar results are served for median values (for the year 2014). The changes were as in the previous case. These changes improved the value of ROE from 5.81% into 21.6% (from pointed evaluation 2 into 3) in 36 months, the value of ROA from 2.89% into 15.08% (from pointed evaluation 2 into 5) into 36 months. The improvement was also noticed in total liquidity from 2.16 into 5.54 (the pointed evaluation 5 was not changed) and level of capitalization from 0.54 into 0.72 (the pointed evaluation 1 was not changed) in 36 months. The level of WACC was increased
5. **Dynamic Financial Strategy Model (in 2014, for arithmetic mean of used values)**

Source: own in Vensim program

6. **Changes and its Impact on Variables in Financial Strategy Model (in 2014, arithmetic mean of used values)**

Source: own in Vensim program
from 14.93% into 16.54% (from pointed evaluation 4 into 3) in 36 months. The final average evaluation of model has been changed from 2.8 into 3.4 that reflect a balanced strategy as well.

This case has simulated possible dramatic changes in corporate strategy and their impact on financial variables and overall financial strategy. Nowadays, the biggest enterprises in winery industry in the CR use a conservative approach in management and other financial planning focusing on higher liquidity and lower profitability. The dynamic financial strategy model has simulated, in what variables the selected enterprises have to be improved to dramatically reach higher profitability and liquidity. We can lead discussion if this possibility could be realized in practice (i.e. obstacles with looking for new investor and willingness of both cooperating parties, obstacles with receiving subsidies, the other external factors influencing future net income, i.e. international competition, annual crop and harvest based on seasonable weather factors). This model supposes the ideal condition without mentioned external obstacles.

CONCLUSION

The paper has dealt with the identification of current strategy in the selected enterprises from the winery industry with the help of theoretically proposed financial strategy model. The prerequisite for the financial strategy identification was a detailed financial analysis of selected variables (mainly arithmetic mean and median). The results of financial analysis showed the selected enterprises focuses more on higher liquidity with low profitability. At the same time, the selected enterprises were undercapitalized and have a problem with short-term financing (e.g. in lower values of short-term financial assets).

A simulation of theoretically proposed model has proved the current financial strategy of observed enterprises focuses on conservative approach with reaching higher liquidity and lower profitability. It means the winery enterprises focuses on stabilization on the market and compensation of loses reached in previous years. Afterwards, the dynamic financial strategy model has been used that demonstrates changes in selected variables. These changes have been focused mainly on strengthening equity ratio by improving EAT, registered capital and funds, on the side of assets on strengthening in fixed assets and short-term financial assets. The dynamic financial strategy model could be served as a helping tool for financial planning and other financial decision-makings. These changes suppose as intention of enterprises to be expanded with using investment and progressive corporate strategy. This model supposes ideal conditions that exclude external factors influencing the final economic results. A strengthening debt ratio would cause worsening all observed variables and overall financial strategy.

The model could beneficial for expanding the theoretical knowledge in financial management, e.g. providing a comprehensive theoretical insight of the financial strategy and also a quality basis for financial decision-making process. The dynamic financial strategy model could solve the dilemma in the field of financial planning, financial decision-making and determining the optimal financial strategy. The theoretically proposed model has its own limitations, e.g. it is served for enterprises that are not trading on the capital market and for the Czech economy. The other limitation could be based on used methods for determination of cost of equity and cost of capital. The different methods could change the whole result of the model. The used variables in this model could be also the subject of other expert discussion and could be updated to and to the current situation or business sector.
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