Volume 65 115 Number 4, 2017

https://doi.org/10.11118/actaun201765041121

# THE USE OF RESISTANT GLASS IN SPECIAL AGRICULTURAL MACHINERY AND THE LOGISTIC SUPPORT DEPENDING ON OPERATING TEMPERATURES

Tomáš Binar<sup>1</sup>, Jiří Švarc<sup>1</sup>, Stanislav Rolc<sup>2</sup>, Petr Dostál<sup>3</sup>, Michal Šustr<sup>3</sup>

#### **Abstract**

BINAR TOMÁŠ, ŠVARC JIŘÍ, ROLC STANISLAV, DOSTÁL PETR, ŠUSTR MICHAL. 2017. The Use of Resistant Glass in Special Agricultural Machinery and the Logistic Support Depending on Operating Temperatures. *Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis*, 65(4): 1121–1127.

The paper is concerned with quality assessment of bullet-resistant glass in relation to ambient temperatures. The measurement results provided below may be drawn on in the field of logistics when transport, special as well as special agricultural machinery are operated at fluctuating temperatures. In the paper, data from shooting tests, monitoring the projectile velocity, penetration through the glass and projectile fragmentation at various ambient temperatures, is presented. For perfect protection of agricultural machine operators not only the bullet-resistance of glass panels, but also their further use in work is of great importance. Hence, emphasis is put on active safety of these machinery, where a perfect transparency of the bullet-resistant panels is one of crucial factor. In the paper, the extent of damaged areas is compared; these are divided into three zones according to significantly differing temperatures. The paper results may have influence on agricultural machinery in thermally extreme areas

Keywords: test temperature, life cycle of bullet-resistant glass, ballistic protection, active safety of bullet-resistant glass, agricultural machinery

## **INTRODUCTION**

The protection of machine operators and equipment in special agricultural machinery is a top priority. Special agricultural machinery manufactured according specified ballistic-resistance requirements in compliance with standards in force, in particular NATO AEP-55 STANAG 4569 (NATO, 2012). The required ballistic resistance is achieved through the selection and processing of a material. The ballistic resistance depends on the strength, hardness, toughness and the capability of maintaining these properties at various temperatures (thermal resistance of a material) (Binar et al., 2015; Bhadeshia, 2005; Binar et al., 2011; Data sheet, 2011; Binar el al., 2011).

Armour is manufactured from a wide variety of materials, from steel to glass, plastic or ceramic. The purpose of this article is to analyse possible damage to bullet-resistant composite glass with a ballistic protection against kinetic energy threats, i.e. projectiles from firearms, and splinters from artillery shell calibre 155 mm. The glass panels were produced in compliance with body armour resistance standard Level 2 and Level 3, and the conditions of kinetic energy threats tests and the test methods proving the resistance to kinetic energy threats were adopted from NATO AEP-55 STANAG 4569 Annex A and Annex B (NATO, 2012; Binar et al., 2014; Binar et al., 2015; Křesťan et al., 2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Logistics, University of Defence, Brno, Kounicova 65, 662 10 Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Military Research Institute, Brno, Veslařská 230, 637 00 Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Technology and Automobile Transport, Mendel University in Brno, Zemědělská 1, 613 00 Brno, Czech Republic

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The experiment was based on measurement of real bulletproof glass removed from DINGO vehicle.

Laboratory measurements were carried out in three temperature ranges in order to identify possible changes in the ballistic resistance of the material tested in relation to differing ambient temperatures (Bhadeshia, 2005; Data sheet, 2011; Binar *et al.*, 2015). Set A of the shooting tests was carried out at room temperature, i.e. between 20 °C and 25 °C. Set B of the shooting tests was carried out at a higher ambient temperature of 55 °C, and set C of the shooting tests was carried out at a lower ambient temperature of –32 °C.

## Set A - shooting tests at room temperature

The shooting test programme was based on the specifications for bullet-resistant glass panels used in DINGO mechinery, requiring Level 2 of ballistic protection as per NATO AEP-55 STANAG 4569 with the machine operator protection against ammunition 7.62 mm × 54R B32 API and the shooting parameters defined by NATO AEP-55 STANAG 4569 with the minimum distance between two adjacent hits 500 mm (NATO, 2012).

#### Side glass

Preliminary tests were carried out on a right-side door glass panel (serial no. GuS 554 02/08 064-121-21-10-00-01728313). In total, there were 5 shots with projectile  $7.62 \times 54R$  B32 API at the distance of 505 mm.

#### Windscreen glass

In the left part of the windscreen (serial no. GuS 422 10/07 064-121-21-10-00-017236), the level of machine operator protection against projectile  $7.62 \times 54 R$  B32 API was tested with the minimum distance of two adjacent hits 500 mm.

## Set B – tests at high temperatures

The information about the effect of high temperatures on the level of machine operator protection provided by bullet-resistant glass in DINGO machinery. Order to obtain the information, shooting tests were carried out on glass heated to high temperatures. The shooting tests were carried out on glass conditioned in climatic chambers HERAEUS GmbH and THERMOTRON Industrie Ltd. Company with the temperature range -70 °C to 180 °C. The glass was conditioned for min. 12 hours, and the temperature in the chambers was set to 55 °C. In spite of the glass handling being very complicated, the testing time did not exceed 5 min., and the glass surface temperature did not drop by more than 10 %. The glass surface temperature was measured using a Service Systeme infrared thermometer. The glass was tested for resistance at Level 2 as per NATO AEP-55 STANAG 4569 (NATO, 2012).

#### Windscreen glass

The test was carried out on windscreen glass (serial no. GuS 542 05/08 064-121-21-10-0001723600) heated to 55 °C.

#### Side glass

The test was carried out on side glass (serial no. GuS 598 04/08 064- 121-21-10-00-01728313) heated to 55 °C. The protective effect against multiple shots by projectile  $7.62 \times 54R$  B32 API was tested with the distance between hits 385, 426 a 505 mm.

#### **Set C – tests at low temperatures**

The information about the effect of low temperatures on the level of machine operator protection provided by bullet-resistant glass in DINGO machinery. Order to obtain the information, shooting tests were carried out on glass cooled to a low temperature. The shooting tests were carried out on glass conditioned in climatic chambers HERAEUS GmbH and THERMOTRON Industrie Ltd. Company with the temperature range –70 °C to 180 °C. The glass was conditioned for min. 12 hours, and the temperature in the chambers was set to –32 °C. The testing time did not exceed 5 min.

#### Side glass

The influence of low temperatures on the bullet-resistant glass resistance was tested on a side glass of a DINGO machinery (serial no. GuS 619 04/08 064-121-21-10-00-01727802) cooled to -32 °C. The protective effect against multiple hits by projectile 7.62 × 54R B32 API was tested with the distance of hits 365, 415 a 495 mm. The glass was tested for resistance at Level 2 as per NATO AEP-55 STANAG 4569 (NATO, 2012).

#### Side glass

The test was carried out on a side glass of a DINGO machinery (serial no. SVOS1411183). The glass was tested for resistance to projectile  $7.62 \times 54R$  B32 API with the minimum distance of hits 500 mm.

The glass was tested for resistance at Level 2 as per NATO AEP-55 STANAG 4569 (NATO, 2012).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Set A - shooting tests at room temperature

Despite extensive degradation, the DINGO side glass (serial no. GuS 554 02/08 064-121-21-10-00-01728313) (Fig. 1) resisted the shots. The polycarbonate layer remained free of any deformation. In Tab. I, results of the shooting tests are stated.

Windscreen glass (serial no. GuS 422 10/07 064-121-21-10-00-017236) (Fig. 2) resisted even though the distance of hits was below the lower limit of required minimum distance. In Tab. II, shooting tests results are stated.



1: Glass after shooting tests using projectile 7.62  $\times$  54R B32 API

# I: Shooting tests results for side glass GUS 554

Shot no.	Velocity [m/s]	Penetration [YES/NO]	Splinters [YES/NO]
1	864.5	NO	NO
2	865.8	NO	NO
3	865.2	NO	NO
4	865.5	NO	NO
5	864.9	NO	NO



2: Glass after shooting tests using projectile 7.62  $\times$  54R B32 API

# $\Pi$ : Shooting tests results for windscreen glass GUS 422

Shot no.	Velocity [m/s]	Penetration [YES/NO]	Splinters [YES/NO]
1	852.4	NO	NO
2	862.8	NO	NO
3	855.3	NO	NO
4	858.6	NO	NO
5	854.5	NO	NO



3: Glass after shooting tests using projectile  $7.62 \times 54R$  B32 API (shots 1–3) and  $7.62 \times 39$  API BZ (shots 4–10)



4: Glass after shooting tests using projectile 7.62  $\times$  54R B32 API and 7.62  $\times$  39 API BZ inner side

III: Shooting tests results for windscreen glass GUS 542

Shot no.	Velocity [m/s]	Penetration [YES/NO]	Splinters [YES/NO]
1	860.9	NO	NO
2	853.3	NO	NO
3	859.3	NO	NO
4	695.3	NO	NO
5	691.1	NO	NO
6	692.3	NO	NO
7	693.2	NO	NO
8	698.3	NO	NO
9	695.3	NO	NO
10	695.6	NO	NO



5: Glass after shooting tests using projectile 7.62 × 54R B32 API

IV: Shooting tests results for side glass GUS 598

Shot no.	Velocity [m/s]	Penetration [YES/NO]	Splinters [YES/NO]
1	856.5	NO	NO
2	850.1	NO	NO
3	856.7	NO	NO
4	852.8	NO	NO
5	856.2	NO	NO

## Set B – tests at high temperatures

Windscreen glass (serial no. GuS542 05/08 064-121-21-10-0001723600) (Fig. 3) resisted to all 10 shots without any deformation of the polycarbonate layer (Fig. 4). In Tab. III, shooting tests results are stated.

In Fig. 5, side glass is shown (serial no. GuS 598 04/08 064- 121-21-10-00-01728313) that resisted the shots without any deformation of the polycarbonate layer. In Tab. IV, shooting tests results are stated.

#### **Set C – tests at low temperatures**

Side glass (serial no. GuS 619 04/08 064-121-21-10-00-01727802) (Fig. 6) resisted without any deformation of the polycarbonate layer. In Tab. V, shooting tests results are stated.

Side glass (serial no. SVOS 1411183) (Fig. 7) resisted the shots without any deformation of the polycarbonate layer even though the distance of the hits was below the minimum distance limit. In Tab. VI, shooting tests results are stated.



6: Degraded side glass after shooting tests using projectile  $7.62 \times 54RB32$  API at the temperature of -32 °C

	V:	Shooting test	s results for	side glass	GUS 619
--	----	---------------	---------------	------------	---------

Shot no.	Velocity [m/s]	Penetration [YES/NO]	Splinters [YES/NO]
1	860.5	NO	NO
2	853.9	NO	NO
3	861.4	NO	NO
4	858.2	NO	NO
5	854.3	NO	NO





7: Side glass after shooting tests using projectile  $7.62 \times 54R$  B32 API at the temperature of -32 °C

VI: Shooting tests results for side glass GUS 1411183

Sh	not no.	Velocity [m/s]	Penetration [YES/NO]	Splinters [YES/NO]
1		862.0	NO	NO
2		858.6	NO	NO
3		852.5	NO	NO
4		856.1	NO	NO
5		859.2	NO	NO

### **CONCLUSION**

At all the test temperatures, the material tested resisted to the ammunition used (no penetration was identified). Nevertheless, a significant influence of the ambient temperature on the occurrence and extent of the monitored zones (I, II, III) at the point of a hit was proved. The extent of these zones at various temperatures was then compared and statistically verified. It follows from the results that that zone I (at  $20-25\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) is by 61 % smaller than zone III (at  $-32\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and zone II (at  $55\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) is by 20 % smaller than zone III.

It is evident that monitored zones I, II and III significantly influence the transparency of the bullet-resistant glass thus impairing or even restricting the special agricultural machine operators' orientation in the terrain. Therefore, great emphasis should be put on the selection of a suitable material with regard to its thermal resistance, even in damaged condition, in order to ensure the best possible active and passive protection.

For future research in this field, it would be recommendable to have more verified measurement data, such as non-destructive defectoscopy signals from an acoustic emission apparatus. Based on these values, it would be possible to conduct real-time detailed monitoring of the occurrence and propagation of microcracks in the material tested at various temperatures.

### Acknowledgements

The research has been supported by the project TP 6/2017: Defectoscopic quality assessment of technical and organic materials; financed by IGA AF MENDELU.

The work was supported by the Ministry of Defence of the Czech Republic, project No. DZRO K-109, and project. No. 140143440 "PANCERSKLA".

#### REFERENCES

- BHADESHIA, H. K. 2005. Hard Bainite. Minerals, Metals and Materials Society, Volume 1.
- BINAR, T. et al. 2014. Material Characteristics of Plastic Deformation in High-Strength Steel. *Advances in Military Technology*, 9(2): 33–39.
- BINAR, T. et al. 2011. Effect of Environmental Temperature on the Brittle-Fracture Characteristics Under Dynamic Loading and Assessment of Deformation on Low-Alloy Steel Devices Used in Containerization. ECS Transactions, 40(1): 1–7.
- BINAR, T. et al. 2011. Evaluation of the Test Temperature Effect on Failure Mechanisms and Notched Impact Strength Characteristics of Ultra-Hard Low Alloy Steels. *Strength of Materials*, 43(5): 537–542.
- BINAR, T. et al. 2015. Proving Ultra-hard Steel Quality by means of Measuring Ballistic Resistance Influencing the Life Cycle of the Material within a Specific Temperature Range. In: 16<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Advanced Batteries, Accumulators and Fuel Cells, ABAF 2015. USA: Electrochemical Society Inc., pp. 155–166.
- DATA SHEET. 2011. Version 2011–25, ARMOX 500T, SSAB, p. 1–2.
- KŘESŤAN, J. et al. 2016. Armour repair optimized by means of numerical simulations. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 36(12): 3067–3072.
- NATO AEP-55 STANAG 4569. 2012. Protection levels for occupants of logistic and light armored vehicles Part 1–4: General Annex A D. 2nd Edition. Brussels: NATO Standardization Agency.

Contact information

Tomáš Binar: tomas.binar@unob Jiří Švarc: jiri.svarc2@unob.cz Stanislav Rolc: rolc@vvubrno.cz Petr Dostál: petr.dostal@mendelu.cz Michal Šustr: michal.sustr@mendelu.cz