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Abstract


Plastics are macromolecular materials without which we cannot imagine any branch of human activity with. Plastics have unique properties, often very different from metals. At the choice of the concrete plastic for the concrete application it is necessary to evaluate its mechanical, physical, chemical and technological properties. In last years producers offer also plastics for production of parts exposed to different types of wear. In the contribution the results of wear resistance studying of 10 types of plastics (PTFE, PVC, POM-C, PC, PETP, PEEK, PA66, PP, PA6E and PE-UHMW) of one producer are published and compared with test results of four different Fe alloys (grey iron, structural steel, cast steel wear resistant and high-speed steel). The laboratory tests were carried out using the pin-on-disk machine with abrasive cloth (according to ČSN 01 5084), when the abrasive clothes of three different grits (240, 120 and 60) were used. It corresponds to the average abrasive grain sizes of 44.5 μm, 115.5 μm and 275 μm. During the test the test sample was pressed to the abrasive cloth by the pressure of 0.1 MPa. The wear intensity was assessed by the volume, weight and length losses of tested samples. The technical-economical evaluation was the part of the carried out tests. It was univocally proved that at the intensive abrasive wear using the abrasive cloth the best results shows the High-Speed Steel HSS Moldi Radeco 19 810 according to ČSN 41 9810, although its price is relatively high. Other tested Fe alloys, namely grey iron according to ČSN 42 2415, structural steel 11 373 according to ČSN 41 1373 and wear resistant cast steel VPH 6 showed also very favourable properties at the material low price. In comparison with Fe alloys the wear of all plastics was considerably higher and the plastics were considerably more expensive.
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INTRODUCTION

Plastics are macromolecular materials without which we cannot imagine any branch of human activity which. They are classified according to different criterions. Evidently the most often plastics classification is according to the heat effect (thermoplastics, thermosetting). The next classification is according to their chemical composition (ČSN EN ISO 1043-1, 2012). According to additives we distinguish plastics with filling and without filling. According to the expected use in practice we distinguish standard plastics, structural plastics and high-tech plastics (Kinney, 1957; Pluhař et al., 1989).

As citizens we meet often plastics in form of packing materials in the widest meaning. But plastics are also a modern structural material, which are made not only commonly known products from, as toys for children, window frames, garden furniture, parts in interior of passenger cars, mobile phones housing or housings of personal computers. History of plastics production is relatively new. The first plastic (parkesin) was made in the half of 19th Century. The first fully synthetic plastic was made about 100 years ago. The dynamic production increase and usage of plastics had come in the half of the last century. In some applications plastics...
replaced gradually until then used materials, namely wood, glass, steel or nonferrous metals. Since then the plastics production develops and increases very dynamical. From the available statistical data it follows that contemporary the year's consumption of plastics is in the whole world almost 40 kg pro person, in Europe almost double.

Plastics have unique properties, mostly very different from properties of metals. At the choice of the concrete plastic for the concrete application it is necessary to appraise its mechanical, physical, chemical and technological properties. In last years producers offer also plastics for parts exposed to different types of wear (Brown, 1995; Budinski, 1997; Kutz, 2011; Lever and Rhys, 1968).

Contemporary plastics are processed using many different technologies: moulding, calendring (sheeting), injection moulding, blow moulding, thermoforming, and cutting or welding, too. Products from plastics are finished by various surface finishing (Štěpek et al., 1989).

In the contribution the results of abrasive wear resistance study of 10 types of plastics are published. The results are compared with the test results of 4 different Fe alloys. The laboratory tests were carried out using the pin-on-disk machine with abrasive cloth, when the abrasive clothes of 3 different grits were used. The wear intensity of all test samples was assessed by volume, weight and length losses at different conditions. The part of carried out tests was the technical-economical evaluation, too. The prices of plastics were taken over from invoices.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

For the materials wear resistance determination against single wear types (ČSN 01 5050, 1969) in principle field tests (Brožek, 2007), pilot tests and laboratory tests (Brožek, Nováková and Mikuš, 2010) are used. Each of mentioned tests is of advantages, but also of disadvantages. Therefore each of test types is most suitable for other field of application. The wear resistance test type is always necessary to be chosen with regard to the wear process dominant conditions and to the demanded test results.

The wear intensity can be expressed by the directly measured values or by the relative values. The directly measured value can be abrasion specified in length (cm), weight (g) or volume (cm³). The other possible way is the expression by the dimensionless quantity, when wear intensity of the tested sample is compared to the wear intensity of the standard (Vocel and Dufek et al., 1976; Brožek, 1995).

In literature a sufficient number of wear resistance testers for various types of wear is mentioned (Blau, 1992; Friction and Wear Testing, 1987; Vocel, 1983). Testing equipment for abrasive wear resistance determination is usually classified according to the contact mode of the sample with free or bonded abrasives. In practice the testing machines with abrasives bonded to cloth (Fig. 1) are used most often. They are simple and reliable, with small variance in results. Their disadvantage is the variable quality of abrasive cloth. In Czech Republic this testing method is standardised according to ČSN 01 5084, 1974 (similar foreign standards: STN 01 5084, ASTM G 132).

The principle of an abrasive wear test using the pin-on-disk machine with abrasive cloth (ČSN 01 5084, 1974; Fig. 1) is to wear the specimen under pre-determined conditions. Using the apparatus with abrasive cloth the specimens were of 10 mm diameter and 70 mm length. The test specimen is pressed against an abrasive surface using the prescribed normal force. The wear path is a spiral on the disk, caused by a disk rotation and a radial feed of a specimen, so the specimen progressively moves over unused abrasive along the prescribed track length.

As abrasive cloth the corundum twill type A 99 – G, S 25, trade mark Globus, grit 120, was used. In addition tests using the grits 60 and 240 were carried out, too. It corresponds to the average abrasive grain sizes of 44.5 (grit 240), 115.5 (grit 120) and 275 μm (grit 60). During the test the test sample
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1: Scheme of the abrasion testing machine (pin-on-disk)
was pressed to the abrasive cloth by the pressure of 0.1 MPa (Brožek, 2014; Nováková and Brožek, 2014).

The above mentioned pin-on-disk machine with abrasive cloth (bonded abrasive) is primarily destined for the determination of abrasive wear resistance of metallic materials (Brožek, 1995; Brožek, 2012; Brožek and Nováková, 2008). By the carried out tests it was proved that this machine is suitable and applicable for wear resistance tests of plastics, too.

In practice also machines of other design are used, e.g. machine with rubber cylinder. In this case the test sample is worn out by free abrasive, which is poured between the sample surface and the slowly rotating cylinder, which touches the sample surface. The rubber cylinder pushes the free abrasive grains against the tested sample surface. The used grains fall in a container (Budinski, 1997; Suchánek, Blašková and Brožek, 1999).

The summary of the used materials [plastics and metals] is in Tab. I. Chemical composition of tested Fe alloys is in Tab. II.

Before the abrasive wear test the density (ρ) of all tested materials was determined. Using a dial balance the sample weight (g) before (m₁) and after (m₂) the test was determined with accuracy of 0.0001 g.

The weight loss Δm (g) is calculated using the equation

\[ \Delta m = m_1 - m_2. \]  

(1)

The volume loss ΔV (cm³) is calculated from the weight loss Δm (g) and the density ρ (g/cm³) (Tab. I) from the equation

\[ \Delta V = \frac{\Delta m}{\rho}. \]  

(2)

The length loss Δl (cm) is calculated from the volume loss ΔV (cm³) and from the worn out sample front surface from the equation

\[ \Delta l = \frac{4\Delta V}{\pi d^2}. \]  

(3)

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Weight loss of tested materials is presented in Fig. 2, volume/length loss in Fig. 3.

From the test results shown in Fig. 2 (weight loss) and in Fig. 3 (volume loss/length loss) it follows that different plastics have different abrasive wear resistance. The order of tested plastics arranged

### I: Summary of tested materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tested material</th>
<th>Marking</th>
<th>Density g/cm³</th>
<th>Price of sample material CZK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polytetrafluoroethylene</td>
<td>PTFE</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>33.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinyl Chloride</td>
<td>PVC</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>9.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyoxymethylene (Polyacetat) Copolymer</td>
<td>POM-C</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>10.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycarbonate</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>25.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene Terephthalat Polyester</td>
<td>PETP</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>10.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyetheretherketone</td>
<td>PEEK</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>94.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyamide 6.6</td>
<td>PA66</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>11.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polypropylene</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>6.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyamide 6 Extruded</td>
<td>PA6E</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>7.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Polyethylene Ultra-high-molecular-weight</td>
<td>PE-UHMW</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>13.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey Iron according to ČSN 42 2415</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>7.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural steel 11 373 according to ČSN 41 1373</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>7.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast steel VPH 6 wear resistant</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>7.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-speed steel Poldi Radeco 19 810 according to ČSN 41 9810</td>
<td>HSS</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- Plastics are marked by abbreviations according to ČSN EN ISO 1043-1 (64 0002).
- The samples from all plastics and steels were cut off from bars of 10 mm diameter, the samples from grey iron and cast iron from castings of a simple shape.
- For information: 1 EURO = 27.150 CZK (15 November 2013).

### II: Chemical composition of tested Fe alloys (weight %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marking of tested material</th>
<th>Tested material</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Mo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GI</td>
<td>Grey iron 42 2415</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Structural steel 11 373</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Cast steel VPH 6</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS</td>
<td>High-speed steel 19 810</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10.72</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
according to the decreasing weight/volume loss is identical. It is logical owing to the same worn out front surface diameter of all tested samples.

At the arrangement of samples according to weight loss the samples from grey iron 42 2415, from steel 11 373 and from cast steel VPH 6 show the higher loss. It is caused by their considerably higher density compared to the density of tested plastics.

At the test using the pin-on-disk machine the highest wear was determined at the plastic PTFE. The wear intensity of next plastics decreased in order PVC, POM-C, PC, PETP, PEEK, PA66, PP and PA6E. The minimum wear was determined at the plastic PE-UHMW. At Fe alloys the highest wear was determined at the steel 11 373 and at the cast iron VPH 6. The minimum wear of all tested materials was determined at the high-speed steel HSS Poldi Radeco (19 810) regardless of the measured quantity (volume, weight, length).

It was proved that with the increasing abrasive grain size the wear intensity increases at all tested materials. But at different materials the increase of wear intensity depending on the abrasive grain size is different. It is possible to state that from this point of view between tested plastics are relatively substantial differences. While at the plastic PTFE with the increasing abrasive grain size the wear increases very considerably, at plastics of types PA 66, PP and PA6E the wear increases only little. The trend of the wear increase with the increasing
abrasive grain size can be seen at the tested metals, too.

The graphical illustration of the technical-economical evaluation of the carried out tests is evident from Fig. 4 (according to weight loss) and Fig. 5 (according to volume/length loss).

In Figs. 4 (weight loss) and 5 (volume/length loss) the results of for practice most suitable materials from the technical-economical point of view are located left at the bottom. It is a case of keenly priced materials of relatively small wear. On the contrary the results of materials located right on the top are not suitable for use in conditions of abrasive wear. It is a case of material low wear and high price.

As it follows from above mentioned figures, the results of the major part of tested materials are located left at the bottom, but three samples considerably protrude from this location, namely PTFE, PEEK and HSS Poldi Radeco 19 810. Using the abrasive cloth the plastic PTFE showed unequivocally the highest wear. The medium wear was determined at the most expensive plastic PEEK. On the contrary almost zero wear was determined at the sample made from the relatively expensive high-speed steel HSS 19 810.

At the technical-economical assessing of next samples results by volume (or length) wear the metallic materials, which means grey iron, structural steel and cast steel, have considerably higher wear resistance compared with all tested plastics and their price is low. But at the assessing using weight loss the metallic materials have higher losses that some plastics. It is caused by the considerably different density of these materials.

From the results summarization it follows that at the different materials wear resistance evaluation
it is necessary to give the parameter of loss. As it is showed in above mentioned figures, the results expressed by volume or length loss are at the same size of test samples identical, while results expressed by weight loss differ.

In the end it is necessary to emphasize that at plastics usually other properties are appreciated than wear resistance. For their application e.g. mechanical, physical, chemical and technological properties are demanded.

The contribution contains the laboratory tests results of abrasive wear resistance of selected plastics and Fe alloys using the pin-on-disk machine with abrasive cloth carried out according to the standard ČSN 01 5084. In total 10 types of plastics from one producer and 4 different Fe alloys (grey iron, structural steel, wear resistant cast steel and high-speed steel) were tested.

All samples were of cylindrical shape of 10mm diameter and 70mm length. For the test samples wear three abrasive clothes of different grit, namely 240 (mean abrasive grain size 44.5 μm), 120 (mean abrasive grain size 115.5 μm) and 60 (mean abrasive grain size 275 μm) were used. The wear intensity was evaluated by volume loss, weight loss and length loss at all tested samples. The technical-economical evaluation was the part of the carried out tests.

CONCLUSION

From the carried out test results it follows that all plastics were excessively worn out regardless of their price. By this reason they cannot be recommended for such working conditions. In accordance with the precondition the highest abrasive wear resistance was determined at the high-speed steel, but its price is relatively high. The results of the other Fe alloys were favourable, better than the results of plastics, even at a very low price. Contemporarily it was proved that with the increasing grain size the wear intensity increases, even when at different materials differently.
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