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Abstract
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The paper focuses on modelling of commercial bank website visitors' behaviour. The authors analyse domestic and foreign market participants' interests in mandatory financial information disclosure of a commercial bank during the recent financial crisis and try to answer the question whether the purposes of Basel 2 regulations under the Pillar 3 – Market discipline, publishing financial information, have been fulfilled. The authors analyse bank website logs files using web log mining methods to better understand the rate of using of web pages, where mandatory financial information about Basel 2 is published. After data pre-processing the authors use association rule analysis to identify the association among content categories of the website. The results show that there is small interest in mandatory financial information disclosure by the commercial bank in general. The foreign website visitors take more concern in mandatory financial information disclosure, and they take less interest in general information about bank than domestic ones.
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Market discipline (MD) in banking represents the mechanism via which market participants monitor and discipline excessive risk-taking behaviour by banks (Stephanou, 2010). The importance of MD has been formally stressed through the latest architecture of the banking regulatory frameworks Basel II and Basel III (BCBS, 2006; BCBS, 2011). Within these frameworks market discipline is one of the three pillars, it constitutes the Pillar 3.

The European institutions only require Pillar 3 information to be disclosed publicly. In general, the disclosures are to be made to the market for the benefit of the market. The banks publish the Pillar 3 information on their website, which is currently the best way how to make information easily accessible to market participants.

We try to answer the question if this information disclosure according to Basel II, Pillar 3 is interesting for different kinds of stakeholders. We model the behaviour of users browsing bank website where information is publicly available. We analyse the market participants’ interest in mandatory disclosure of financial information by means of methods of web log mining. Such study has been no carried out yet.

The structure of the paper is as follows. We summarize the current status of the research in the second chapter. We introduce used methods and data resources in the third chapter. The fourth chapter describes the experimental results in detail. Finally, we discuss the obtained results in the last chapter.

Related Work

There are numerous definitions of market discipline (MD) in the literature. We define
Market discipline in banking as the mechanism via which market participants monitor and discipline excessive risk-taking behaviour by banks (Stephanou, 2010).

The importance of MD has been formally stressed through the latest architecture of the banking regulatory frameworks Basel II and Basel III (BCBS, 2006; BCBS, 2011). Within these frameworks market discipline is one of the three pillars (Pillar 3), along with capital regulation (Pillar 1) and supervision (Pillar 2). The BCBS and EU legislation (CRD) only require Pillar 3 information to be disclosed publicly, they do not define how it should be done. Neither Basel Committee nor the European Union related legislation asks for standardised format of information disclosure and frequency is limited to minimum one time per year (European Parliament and European Council, 2006a; European Parliament and European Council, 2006b). The banks publish the Pillar 3 information on their websites, which is currently the best way to make information easily accessible to market participants.

The National Bank of Slovakia (NBS) issued two decrees (National Bank of Slovakia, 2010; National Bank of Slovakia, 2011) that standardize required Pillar 3 accounting, risk and other information and frequency is stated quarterly, semi-annually and annually.

Web log mining has received extensive attention because of its significant theoretical background and powerful application potential. Many web usage mining approaches and methods were surveyed in (Srivastava, 2000; Pierrakos, 2003; Tao and Murtagh, 2000). The last comprehensive surveys on web log mining have been done by Koutri et al. (Koutri, Avouris and Daskalaki, 2005) and Kosala and Blockeel (Kosala and Blockeel, 2000).

Web log data are pre-processed in the first phase in order to identify users, sessions, page views, and clickstreams (Chitraa and Davamani, 2010; Munk, Kapusta and Švec, 2009; Munk, Kapusta and Švec, 2010). According to Cooley et al. (Cooley, Mobasher and Srivastava, 1999) data preparation phase consists of data cleaning, data integration, data transformation, path completion and data reduction (Munk and Drlik, 2011a; Munk and Drlik, 2011b).

As we have mentioned earlier, the main objective of this paper is to analyse part of the commercial bank website related to Pillar 3 and find out stakeholders' behaviour. Stakeholders of the banks, as one of key building blocks, have been studied from different perspectives. However, not so much directly as far as their behaviour is in relation to usage of Pillar 3 information. European Banking Authority (EBA) in its regular survey on Pillar 3 implementation interviewed only rating agencies, credit institutions and analysts (European Banking Authority, 2012). The problem is, that they are not typical representatives of the stakeholders of the commercial bank operating in CEE, owned by a foreign group.

According to Song et al. (Song, 2007) the behaviour pattern discovering is one of the web log mining sub-areas that focus on finding out typical flow models of user actions from recorded events. Predominantly used methods are discovering of association and sequence rules, segmentation (cluster analysis, analogy-based methods, etc.) as well as classification (decision rules, decision trees, Bayesian classification, etc.). Pattern discovery of web usage mining which is the outcome of the proposed methodology is discussed in detail in (Shun-Hua, Miao and Guo-Hai, 2010; Song, 2007) and (Nina, 2009).

METHODS

The structure as well as character of data about web usage predetermines the application of specific methods for analysis – data modelling. Sometimes it is enough to slightly adapt the exiting methods and procedures from the KDD area, another time it is necessary to radically change the steps of data pre-processing and analysis (Škorpil and Šťastný, 2008).

The users' behaviour patterns are most often sought in pre-processed data from the log file. For this purpose, a sequence rule analysis is used whose aim is sequence rules extraction. With the help of these rules we predict sequences of visit of various web pages by users. This method was derived from association rules and is an example of method taking into account specific features of the Internet. The difference between association and sequence rule analysis lies in the fact, that in case of association rule analysis we do not analyse sequences but transactions, that means that we do not include the time variable into the analysis (Munk, 2009). Similarly, as in the market basket analysis one transaction represents one purchase, in case of the web, one transaction is the set of pages visited by a user during one session, while we ignore the order in which the user visited individual web pages. Similarly, in case of virtual learning environments we do not investigate accesses to course pages but to course activities (Cápay et al., 2011; Klocoková and Munk, 2011). It is important to be aware of this fact, mainly when interpreting the discovered association rules consisting of web pages on different hierarchical levels (Brečka et al., 2011).

The association rule analysis is mainly applied in portal visit rate analysis when we do not examine the accesses on portal pages but the broader categories of portal content.

RESULTS

We deal with the results of association rules analysis in more detail. This analysis represents the nonsequential approach to analysed data. We do not analyse sequences, but transactions, i.e. we do not regard the time variable into the analysis. The transaction thereafter represents the set of visited
Concerning data, we will consider web parts of the commercial bank website related to the Pillar 3 (Category: Pillar 3 related, Pillar 3 Disclosure Requirements) which was visited by the stakeholder during one session as a one transaction.

We used statistical tool Sequence, Association and Link Analysis for identification of associations among web parts related to the Pillar 3. We extracted association rules at first, then we calculated for these rules two variables: support and lift.

Web graph (Fig. 1) visualises found association rules. The size of a node represents support of this category item, line width represents support of the rule and line brightness stands for the lift of the rule. In other words, the lift represents the measure of interestingness and offers the most interesting results because it can be interpreted as how often times the categories of problems occur together than in the case if they were statistically insignificant.
Considering the web graph (Fig. 1) we can see that the most visited web parts of the portal belong to RZB Group, Annual Reports, Pillar 3 Q-terly Info and Financial Reports (support > 10%). The combinations of these web parts in the identified sessions do not exist or exist with very low probability (support < 1%). We can see also that the web parts Annual Reports \( \rightarrow \) Rating are more frequent together as apart in identified sessions (\( \text{lift} > 1.08 \)). We can claim the same for web parts Pillar 3 Q-terly Info \( \rightarrow \) Financial Reports (\( \text{lift} = 1.03 \)).

We can observe the greatest measure of interestingness (\( \text{lift} \)) in these cases, because the \( \text{lift} \) greater than one means that the selected pairs of web parts are more frequent together than apart in the set of web parts visited by the particular stakeholders. But, we have to be aware that the \( \text{lift} \) does not depend on the rule orientation. The measure of interestingness (\( \text{lift} \)) of the remaining found rules was less than one.

Considering the web graph (Fig. 2) we see that web parts Financial Reports, Rating, Annual Reports, Information for Banks and Pillar 3 Q-terly Info (support > 10%) belong to the most visited parts of the portal. We found out that the combinations of parts Annual Reports \( \rightarrow \) Financial Reports and Pillar 3 Q-terly Info \( \rightarrow \) Financial Reports have support > 10% at the same time.

The other interesting finding is that Bank’s Emitter Prospects \( \rightarrow \) Pillar 3 Semiannually Info, Pillar 3 Semiannually Info \( \rightarrow \) Pillar 3 Q-terly Info, Annual Reports \( \rightarrow \) Pillar 3 Semiannually Info and Pillar 3 Q-terly Info \( \rightarrow \) Financial Reports exist together more frequently than apart (\( \text{lift} > 1.6 \)) in the identified sessions.

Another group of rules, Pillar 3 Q-terly Info \( \rightarrow \) Bank’s Emitter Prospects, Financial Reports \( \rightarrow \) Pillar 3 Semiannually Info, Financial Reports \( \rightarrow \) Annual Reports, Annual Reports \( \rightarrow \) Pillar 3 Q-terly Info and Financial Reports \( \rightarrow \) Bank’s Emitter Prospects attain also the \( \text{lift} > 1.1 \). The measure of interestingness (\( \text{lift} \)) of the remaining found rules was less than one.

**DISCUSSION**

Studied bank is a typical representative for its peer group in the Slovak market: it is a universal commercial bank. The bank has multiplicity of stakeholders. Among them key role play insured and uninsured depositors, mortgage bond holders, subordinated debtholders and minority shareholders.

Stakeholders of the bank presented very low interest in Pillar 3 required information (1.22%) and information related to Pillar 3 (5.05%) in 2008 and 2009. The highest interest, almost 94%, was on general information on the bank.

We have possible explanations for this kind of behaviour. First of all, we assume there was almost no impact of the crisis on the domestic banks as they were in a very good shape as far as capital and liquidity position are. Depositors as key stakeholders did not have serious reason to monitor them. Secondly, deposit insurance fund coverage and tough competition among commercial banks operating in the market might create sufficient safety net that can be a reason not only for low market discipline but also for moral hazard in the stakeholders’ behaviour. In the same time numerous minority shareholders have a lack of incentives to control the bank and prefer to rely on control executed by majority shareholder. Local regulator (NBS) is demanding and has a very good reputation. Finally, level of sophistication of the presented information (that is more or less standardized by Decree issued by the local regulator) is above of financial literacy and expertise of stakeholders. In this respect also the bank’s complexity of operations have a significant impact on level of sophistication provided information (Rábová, 2010).

Foreign stakeholders vs. domestic ones presented higher interest in both Pillar 3 disclosure required information (3.15% vs. 0.91%) and Pillar 3 related information (11.82% vs. 3.94%). It is also evident from the analysis that both groups of stakeholders were more interested in information related to Pillar 3 than Pillar 3 disclosure required information. And above all they were more interested in this type of information provided quarterly than semi-annually.

Further analysis discovered out that the highest frequency of visiting Pillar 3 quarterly disclosure required information were in the fourth quarter.

The highest interest of foreign stakeholders was at RZB Group information and usually in combination with Information for Banks, what indirectly confirms that these stakeholders might be analysts from partners’ banks, rating agencies etc. The second highest frequency (the most significant) had Annual reports category which is tightly related to visit of Rating part. Those who visit Annual Reports visit also RZB Group information (and vice versa) but less frequently. Foreign stakeholders visit maximum two web parts in general.

The highest frequency of domestic stakeholders’ visits was at Financial Reports part, Rating, Annual Report and Information for Banks. In comparison to foreign shareholders there are more subsequent visits from one web part than foreign shareholders do. Maximum is six visits from Financial Reports and the most often the highest occurrence is between Financial Reports and Annual Reports, Financial Reports and Pillar 3-Quarterly info.

The outcomes of web information analysis and modelling are patterns of stakeholders’ behaviour. There is a difference in the pattern of behaviour between domestic stakeholders and foreign ones. Domestic stakeholders behaviour pattern looks like messy one – stakeholders are fishing after information without knowing which information they are looking for. Foreign stakeholders behaviour pattern are more “focus oriented”. It looks like they know which information they are looking for. This different behaviour can be explained by higher literacy of foreign stakeholders (they can be analysts of rating agencies, participating banks etc.).
We found out that Pillar 3 disclosure is fully formally implemented in line with BCBS and EBA requirements. However, lack of the commercial bank's stakeholders interest on the published information and their pattern of behaviour addressed problems and raised further research questions. These problems can belong to one of the following two groups: stakeholders have not been able to cope with Pillar 3 disclosed information or did not have any incentives. Lack of financial literacy of stakeholders, their information needs and mandatory standardized format of disclosed information by the regulator is the main areas of the first group of problems. Financial safety net in the country, transparency and moral hazard in stakeholder behaviour belong to the second group of problems. In this context it is also important to study legal regulatory framework that determine content and frequency of presented information in Slovakia: whether it is inevitable to standardise format and also whether annual full set of Pillar 3 disclosure would not be sufficient instead of quarterly and semi-annually disclosed sets. Changes of content and frequency might be beneficial for stakeholders and have a positive impact from time consuming and costs points of view for banks.

**SUMMARY**

The paper focuses on modelling of commercial bank website visitors' behaviour. The authors analyse domestic and foreign market participants' interests in mandatory financial information disclosure of a commercial bank during the recent financial crisis and try to answer the question whether the purposes of Basel 2 regulations under the Pillar 3 – Market discipline, publishing financial information, have been fulfilled. The authors analyse bank website logs files using web log mining methods to better understand the rate of using of web pages, where mandatory financial information about Basel 2 is published. After data pre-processing the authors use association rule analysis to identify the association among content categories of the website. The results show that there is small interest in mandatory financial information disclosure by the commercial bank in general. The foreign website visitors take more concern in mandatory financial information disclosure, and they take less interest in general information about bank than domestic ones.

Acknowledgement

This paper is supported by the project VEGA 1/0392/13 “Modelling of Stakeholders' Behaviour in Commercial Bank during the Recent Financial Crisis and Expectations of Basel Regulations under Pillar 3- Market Discipline”.

**REFERENCES**


MUNK, M., DRLÍK, M., 2011b: Influence of different session timeouts thresholds on results of sequence rule analysis in educational data mining. Communications in Computer and Information Science, 166, 1, part 1: 60–74. ISSN 1865-0929.


Address

Mgr. Martin Drlík, PhD, Department of Computer Science, Constantine the Philosopher University in Nitra, Tr. A. Hlinku 1, 949 74 Nitra, Slovak Republic, e-mail: mdrlik@ukf.sk, mmunk@ukf.sk, anna.pilkova@fm.uniba.sk, psvec@ukf.sk