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Abstract


In year 2006 a survey was done in Czech Republic, in order to gain data about strategy of parasite control and grazing management of horse breeders and owners. 80 questionnaires were evaluated altogether. 82.5% of breeders claimed self-responsibility for helminth control. All interviewed do the parasite control in all horses at the same time. The results show that in stabled horses the helminth controls are done in interval of 2–4 months. 55% do the helminth control in April. 91.25% varyigate the medicaments. Most frequently used drug was Panacur. Calculation of needed dose is by 47.5% respondents done according to the weight of horse. 86.25% graze horses, 52.2% change pastures, 53.6% breeders clean pastures. 32.5% do the parasite control by examining the excrements, but none of them uses the results to change the strategy of helminth control or grazing management. 72.5% had never observed any sign of illness of their horses related with parasites, 10% mentioned skin problems. 70% respondents call in for a veterinarian when there is a problematic case. 17.5% breeders encountered resistance and 36.25% consider the resistance as problem. 72.5% apply the helminth control according to the veterinarian's advice. 85% consider their parasite-fighting strategy as suitable.

control methods-helminths, horse

After world war two rapid decline of numbers of horses occurred in the Czech Republic connected with the collectivization of countryside. Historians agree on the opinion, that the countryside collectivization was one of the worst communist crimes, which irreversibly stigmatized community, destroyed the farmers' estate, traditional ways of country life and landscape. Total number of horses fell from 450 000 to 20 000.

Corporate changes in the Czech Republic after the year 1989 affected horse breeding. According to Central horse evidence number of horses registered to the date 31. 12. 2000 was 28 084, at the end of 2005 there were 54 956 horses in possession of 20 513 breeders, while 20 453 breeders had less than 30 horses. Thus it is obvious, that the number of small owners increased and horse became free time companion (personal communications).

Attention is now focused on the animals' welfare. Breeders are willing to spend fairly big resources on its account. Question is, however, whether these resources are spent rationally and effectively.

One of the topics in view is sphere of endoparasites, while especially small strongyles belong to the main problems in horse breeding. Anthelmintic resistance (AR) occurred to many drugs, more are presumed to occur (LANGROVÁ et al., 2002). Therefore, it is very important to gain information considering the way of using anthelmintics and management practices, so it will be possible to suggest the most suitable option to prevent occurrence of resistance (LENDAL et al., 1998). Studies on the topic of use of anthelmintic were published for example in Ireland (O’MEARA and MULCAHY, 2002) or Denmark (LENDAL et al., 1998; NIELSEN et al., 2006).

There are many factors promoting the occurrence of AR, such as breaching dose, often cure, use of the same drugs for many years or absence of re-curing of new horses in the batch (BJÖRN et al., 1991). Pasture management is also important (CHROUST, 2000;
LLOYD et al., 2000; BORKOVCOVÁ, 2003). The health status of the horses on the part of endoparasitoses in big farms is observed in the long term (LANGROVÁ et al., 2002). In these breeding resistance was detected and actions and strategies to fight parasites including pasture management were taken. These studies, however, do not include full scale of breeding possibilities and strategies of helminth control and pasture management in Czech Republic.

Aim of this work was to find out which strategies of helminth control and pasture management are used in horse breeding in Czech Republic in order to determine risk factors for AR occurrence. We also wanted to discover, what role veterinarians play in establishing these strategies. Other task was to compare attitude of breeders and veterinarians to helminth control in Czech Republic with countries, where horse breeding was not influenced by countryside collectivization. No similar study had been done in Czech Republic so far.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

In order to carry out objective comparing, questionnaire method by O’MEARA and MULCAHY (2000) was used. Questions from this questionnaire are below in Tab. 1. Total number of questionnaires sent and distributed was 212. Places, where questionnaires were distributed were: International expert meeting in MZLU Brno, 9th International “Horses in Action” Show at Pardubice Racecourse 2006, Competition organized by Czech Jump Cup Association – Belcredi Castle Grand prix and Regional performance testing of mares in Rosice (Czech Republic). Breeders and owners came from different parts of the country.

I: Format of questionnaire given to equine establishments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Reply options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Principal equestrian discipline catered for</td>
<td>Flat racing, national hunt, show jumping, hunting, riding club, other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person responsible for helminth control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of animals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of animals</td>
<td>Brood mares, young stock, stallions, teasers/donkeys, pets, competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of helminth control</td>
<td>4–6 weeks, 6–8 weeks, 2–6 months, 6–12 months, if problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of year when helminth control is carried out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasons for adopting these procedures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is helminth control carried out in all of the animals at one time</td>
<td>Yes, no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are faecal examinations ever carried out</td>
<td>Yes, no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Details of faecal examinations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you ever sought veterinary advice in relation to parasites</td>
<td>Yes, no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you had any parasite-related ailments</td>
<td>Diarrhoea, ill-thrift, colic, ocular lesions, skin lesions, other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you encountered resistance to anthelmintic drugs</td>
<td>Yes, no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does anthelmintic resistance concern you</td>
<td>Yes, no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do other animals graze on the farm</td>
<td>Yes, no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you operate pasture rotation/mixed grazing</td>
<td>Yes, no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you carry out pasture sweeping</td>
<td>Yes, no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do you choose the type of anthelmintic to use</td>
<td>Brand name, veterinary advice, price, class of drug, popular opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chat drugs are regularly used</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you rotate the drugs used</td>
<td>Yes, no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do you calculate the correct dosage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you believe there is any need for modification of your anthelmintic strategy</td>
<td>Yes, no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Interviewed persons were noticed, that results of this questionnaire will be published, but their names won’t be made public. Each question was evaluated for percentage share.

RESULTS

Class of establishments responding

Answers were collected from 80 respondents, i.e. 37.7% of all interviewed. Among these 80 respondents were representatives of all types of farms, from big concerns to small holders. Average number of horses owned by one breeder was 13.6, maximal number was 130 horses, minimal 1 horse. Horses were used for many purposes, such as flat racing, show dumping, riding club, agrotourism, and other. All breeders stated combination of at least two activities.

Responsibility for helminth control, and usage of anthelmintic drugs

82.5% of interviewed owners stated, that they themselves are responsible for helminth control of their horses. The rest delegate this duty to other employee – grooms or veterinarians (Fig. 1a). All interviewed breeders stated, that they carry out the helminth control of all their horses at once. Nearly a half of them – 47.5%, say that the drug dose is calculated according to the weight of the horse. 37.5% use drug dose recommended by the producer’s advice (Fig. 1b). 22.5% respondents do not know how to prescribe the dose, because calculating and administering is done by a veterinarian. 1.25% (1 breeder) state that the drug dose is calculated according to the age of the horse. Interval between helminth controls was in all cases more than 4 weeks. Most often used interval is between 2 and 6 months – 53.75%, or 6 – 12 months – 35% (Fig. 1c). 88.75% respondents did not named drugs they used. From the responses it was not possible to evaluate how often the drugs are rotated, and also they did not mentioned, whether, while rotating drugs, they use groups with different active substance.

Most often used drugs are in Fig. 2a. Active substances of mentioned drugs are ivermectin (Equimax), mebendazol (Telmin, Antiverm), moxidectin (Equest), praziquantel (Equimax), noromectin (Noromectin), and fenbendazol (Panacur). Drugs are most often served in April – 55% and October – 52.5%. Duration of drug serving is in Fig. 2b.

Grazing management and parasite surveillance

Most of the respondents stated, that horses are pastured (86.25%), 52.2% of them use pasture rotation, 20.3% of breeders pasture horses together with other animals (Fig. 3a). 53.6% of breeders cleans pastures from faeces, but did not stated how and how often (Fig. 3b). 32.5% of breeders does the helminth status check using method of faecal egg counts (FECs) (Fig. 3c). Rest of the respondents (67.5%) never did any control of drug effectiveness by FECs after helminth control, or checked the helminth status before they applied helminth control. Answers were not sufficient to specify whether they did such control at least in case where helminth problem was expected. And even when check of the helminth status was carried out, consequential system of helminth control was not modified.
Health problem attributed to helminth infection

72.5% of breeders have not ever noticed any exogenous or endogenous signals or problems, which they would ascribe to parasites. Most often syndromes stated by the rest of breeders are in Fig. 4a. 10% respondents mentioned skin problems; colic and diarrhoea were both stated by 8.75%. No case of ill-thrift or symptoms co-occurrence was recorded. Most respondents (70%) call veterinarian, when problematic case occurs (Fig. 4b).
4: Encountered clinical problems (a), sought veterinary advice on parasites (b)

5: Encountered anthelmintic resistance (a), concerns about resistance (b), why respondents used this protocol of helminth control (c), need for modification of this protocol (d)
Concerns about parasite control programmes

17.5% of interviewed breeders have already encountered parasites’ resistance to anthelmints (Fig. 5a). 36.25% of respondents consider resistance as a problem (Fig. 5b). 85% of them consider their strategy of helmint control sufficient and only 15% thinks about change (Fig. 5c). 72.5% respondents use drugs recommended by veterinarian and quite a great deal of them – 33.75% - make decision according to the type of drugs (Fig. 5d).

DISCUSSION

We compare the results of our survey with similar survey carried out in Ireland (O’MEARA and MULCAHY, 2002). The match of status and helmint control is stunning, considering the difference of before all the socio-economic development of both countries. Distinctive differences were registered only in few tested items.

It was confirmed, that veterinarians play important role in Czech Republic, but not optimal, according to the parasite-fighting strategies. While in Ireland 54% of respondents abide by veterinarian's advice (O’MEARA and MULCAHY, 2002), in Czech Republic it is 72.5%. Veterinarians and drug manufacturers both prefer using drugs instead of using other ways of restricting parasitic stress, for example pasture management. Quite logically, their economical interests lead them. Confidence in veterinarians’ advice reflects in low number of those, who would like to change their program of helmint control (15%), while in Ireland it is 22% respondents (O’MEARA and MULCAHY, 2002).

Responsibility for administering the medicine is usually on the owners (82.5%), distinctively less on the head grooms (5.5%). In their survey O’MEARA and MULCAHY (2002) detected 74% and 16% respectively. Very significant difference between surveys from other countries is in the interval of helmint control. While in Ireland 38% respondents use interval of 4–6 weeks (O’MEARA and MULCAHY, 2002), in Denmark it is 97% (LENDAL et al., 1998). In Czech Republic it is 6.25%. On the contrary, most breeders (53.75%) in Czech Republic do the helmint control after every 2–6 months, in Ireland it is only 28 % (O’MEARA and MULCAHY, 2002). Concerning the difference between intervals of helmint control it is interesting, that the resistance on certain drugs was detected by the same volume in Czech Republic as in Ireland (17.5% and 14% respectively), which can be explained by more suitable drug altering by Ireland owners, which can result from better awareness of this topic (Czech republic 36.25%, Ireland 61%). On the other hand lesser awareness of breeders in Czech Republic could theoretically be compensated by more intensive care about pasture grounds, when pasture sweeping is stated by 33.6% respondents, while in Ireland only 32% of breeders clean pasture areas (O’MEARA and MULCAHY, 2002).

Quite a big part of breeders uses for helmint control drugs with trademark Telmin (18.75%) and Panacur (22.5%), where the active substances are mebendazol and fenbendazol respectively. The reason of growth of detected resistance in breeding can therefore just confirm the results of previous studies (NOVÁKOVÁ and KOUDELA, 2006), that there are strains of parasites, which are resistant to these drugs.

Use of ivermectin spreads, but resistance to it has not been proved in Czech Republic so far (NOVÁKOVÁ and KOUDELA, 2006). Ivermectin has 100% effectiveness on adult cyathostomes (LENDAL et al., 1998) and Haemonchus contortus in Czech Republic and around the world (CHROUST, 2000). If ivermectin is used sensitively it is highly effective against parasites resistant to benzimidazol (HERD, 1992). According to Danish study (LENDAL et al., 1998) ivermectin was the most commonly used anthelmintic in Danish horse herds.

FECs are very useful method of controlling the parazitological status of animals and also of detecting the effectiveness of helmint control. 67.5% of respondents interviewed in our study stated, that they have never done any examination before treatment, in other words they do the prophylactic – preventive action, not treatment, as it should be. These breeders do not carry out FECs, even when there is a suspicion of parasitic infection. LENDAL et al. (1998) presents more optimistic data in his study, when 25% of respondents stated they do the FECs, although 41% of them did such checkups because of different reason than parazitological. EARLE et al. (2002) claims, that 89% FECs of all done was undertaken for investigation of suspected parasite problem.

Startling fact is the very short interval of some breeders’ helmint control in Ireland– 4–6 weeks (O’MEARA and MULCAHY, 2002), which can have no connection to epidemiology of parasite. But strategic treatment times are prior to pasture rotation (HERD, 1992) so that heavy contamination of pastures can be avoided. Standard practice of Czech Republic is helmint control twice a year, as the veterinarians recommend.

In our survey it was found out, that most respondents do helmint control in April and October, quite high part also in March. These terms are connected to common pasture and co-pasture strategy, commonly practiced in small and larger breeding under the conditions of Czech Republic, when the helmint control of the horses is done before releasing them to the pastures and in autumn before the pasturing season ends.

Question is, why more than a half of breeders did helmint control, when they have never done FECs checkups and their horses have no visible problems. Breeders, who use badly chosen drug and most importantly bad helmint control strategy, can cause high stress to their horses caused by drug side effects while trying to do the best for them, and the parasites problem is not solved either. Total number of the horses in Czech Republic is much lower compared to the number of people. However, human parasites are treated individually and only after the
problems outbreak or by preventive actions aimed on the parasites' live cycle outside the human body. Why not approach horses' parasitoses the same way? More over, horses are not sensitive all the same way, so there is a possibility to use especially these naturally resistant individuals for breeding.

CONCLUSION

According to results of this survey and their comparing with those of Ireland and Denmark it is obvious, that collectivization did not influence the status of horse endoparasites in Czech Republic distinctively. Attitude of veterinarians and breeders to this issue is basically the same as in Denmark and Ireland. Also no significant differences were detected in the helminth control methods. More important differences were stated in the interval of helminth control. The most hazard factor seems to be the low level of awareness of breeders concerning this topic and consequentional underestimation of this threat. As a result poor attention is paid to all other aspect, above all the alternation of drugs used for helminth control.

SOUHRN

Dotazníková akce o odčervovacích praktikách v chovech koní v České republice

V roce 2006 byla v České republice provedena dotazníková akce s cílem získat údaje o strategiích odčervování a pastevního managementu chovatelů a majitelů koní. Celkem bylo vyhodnoceno osmdesát dotazníků. 82,5 % chovatelů tvrdí, že jsou sami zodpovědní za odčervování. Všichni chovatelé provádí odčervení u všech koní zároveň. Výsledky ukázaly, že u ustálených koní je odčervování prováděno v intervalu dvou až čtyř měsíců. 55 % provádí odčervování v dubnu. 91,25 % střídá odčervovací přípravky. Nejčastěji užívaným odčervovadlem je Panacur. Výpočet potřebné dávky provádí 47,5 % respondentů podle váhy koně. 86,25 % koně pase, 52,2 % střídá pastviny, 53,6 % je čistí. 32,5 % provádí kontrolu exkrementů na přítomnost parazitů, ale žádný z nich nevyužívá výsledky k následné změně odčervovací strategie nebo pastevního managementu. 70 % respondentů volá v případě výskytu komplikací veterináře. 17,5 % chovatelů se již setkalo s rezistencí na odčervovadla a 36,25 % považuje tuto rezistenci za problém. 72,5 % provádí odčervování podle pokynů veterináře. 85 % je přesvědčeno, že jejich strategie boje s parazity je dostatečná. Z výsledků této práce ve srovnání s výsledky v Irsku, Dánsku a v Anglii je zřejmé, že přístup jak veterinárních lékařů, tak chovatelů k problematice endoparazitů je prakticky shodný ve všech zemích. Rovněž ve způsobech odčervování a pastevního managementu nebyly shledány zásadní odlišnosti. Významnější rozdíly se vyskytly v intervalích odčervení. Jako nejrisikovější faktor pro vznik rezistentních kmenů endoparazitů se jeví především nízká informovanost chovatelů o této problematice a z ní vyplývající podecořování tohoto nebezpečí. Následkem toho je pak věnována malá pozornost všem ostatním aspectům, především pak střídání léčiv.

odčervování, koně
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