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Abstract

Modern high-performance swine genetics, such as Danish hybrid sows, can yield large litter sizes. Especially in the suckling phase, managing these large litters with low piglet losses is often a challenge. To support the modern highly prolific sows and litters, the use of pre-starters as suckling piglet supplementary feed, including sufficient freshwater access, are nowadays good professional practices. Neonatal piglets especially profit from liquid supplemental feed via the possible higher absolute dry matter intake potential, in comparison to solid feed or without supplement. Various studies also indicate that supplying additional liquid feed to piglets pre- and post-weaning may yield epigenetic, life-long positive effects in animal key performance indicators.

Although the advantages of early liquid piglet nutrition are today widely known, supplying adequate nutrient concentrations, the smooth preparation of the piglet's digestive system towards solid, starchy feed, and consequently enzymatic training to reduce post-weaning stress are still challenges which have to be considered.

Due to their higher concentration of solids, piglet starters in porridge form i.a. offer the advantage of higher nutrient densities and aggregation stability.

The aim of this study was, therefore, to answer the questions: 1) Can improved litter performance also routinely be obtained by supplying a porridge supplemental diet to neonatal piglets, and 2) Does improved litter performance translate into overall improved net economic returns within a professional piglet production setup of 254 piglets from 21 litters?

Results show, applying the preweaning porridge strategy not only yielded around 10% higher daily gains and life weight results than conventional approaches, but also a return of investment of 2 : 1.
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INTRODUCTION

Modern high-performance swine genetics, such as Danish hybrid sows, can yield large litter sizes. Especially in the suckling phase, managing these large litters with low piglet losses and adequate growth is often a challenge. Common
challenges attributed to large litter sizes are a higher variance of life born piglets birth weights, a higher percentage of lightweight piglets, and therefore a reduced competitiveness of piglets in feed intake (Nevrkla et al., 2017). Parity due to these stressors, the gross of neonatal piglet losses commonly occur within the first three days, up to two weeks postpartum, dominated by crushing or overlay, hypoglycemia, but also bacterial and viral infections (McOrist, 2014; Alonso-Spilsbury et al., 2007). The primary nutrient source for the neonatal piglet is the milk of the sow (NRC, 2012). During lactation, sows with large litters therefore have a tremendous energy and protein requirement for milk production. They have to consume large quantities of nutrient and energy-dense feed, also to prevent excessive weight losses, responsible for fertility disorders and heat problems. To support the modern highly prolific sows and litters, the use of pre-starters as suckling pig supplementary feed, including sufficient freshwater access are nowadays good professional practice (Fraser et al., 1988; Close and Cole, 2003). Supplementing highly digestible starter feed to neonatal piglets may reduce the risk of losing light piglets, and yield a better growth and overall homogeneity of the litter. Neonatal piglets especially profit from liquid supplemental feed via the possible higher absolute dry matter intake potential, in comparison to solid feed or without supplement (Zijlstra et al., 1996). Various studies also indicate that supplying additional liquid feed to piglets pre- and post-weaning may yield epigenetic, life-long positive effects in animal key performance indicators, e.g., improved daily weight gains and shorter time to market intervals (Chot et al., 2004; Azain et al., 1996). Within the nutrient supply of neonatal piglets, two main aspects of taking advantage of epigenetic effects may be distinguished. Firstly, the immunological and nutritional benefits of high quantities and qualities of sow milk and supplementary feed sources (Kirchgeßner et al., 2008; NRC, 2012), and secondly, the adequate supply of milk-borne developmental signal molecules (e.g., natural steroids), commonly exclusively via the sow’s milk, termed the lactocrine hypothesis (Barotl et al., 2008; Bagnell et al., 2009; Bagnell and Bartol, 2019).

Although the advantages of early liquid piglet nutrition are widely known today, supplying adequate nutrient concentrations, the smooth preparation of the piglet’s digestive system towards solid, starchy feed and consequently enzymatic training to reduce post-weaning stress still are challenges which have to be considered (Metzler and Mosenthin, 2007).

In contrast to liquid supplemental feed for pre-weaned piglets like milk replacers, porridge-like supplementary feeds have recently gained importance. Due to their higher concentration of solids, piglet starters in porridge form offer the advantage of higher nutrient densities and aggregation stability. Additionally, the reduced spillage of porridge type piglet starters may provide an additional economic benefit, in comparison to starters in liquid form.

The aim of this study was, therefore, to answer the questions: 1) Can improved litter performance also routinely be obtained by supplying a porridge supplemental diet to neonatal piglets, and 2) Does improved litter performance translate into overall improved net economic returns within a professional piglet production setup? Key performance indicators and rearing performance of 254 piglets from 21 litters were evaluated within 11 weeks postpartum, applying three different early nutrition and weaning strategies (dry, porridge, and gel).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Farm and Trial Design**

In this study, the litters of 21 lactating Danbred hybrid genetics sows were randomly assigned to three different supplementary feeding strategies before and during the weaning process (dry, porridge, and gel).

The animals were housed at a professional breeding sow farm near Straubing in Lower Bavaria within the same farrowing and later flat deck nursery department. All piglets received the same standard lactation diet, following good professional practice. All piglets had ad libitum access to fresh water via one installed piglet cup system within all farrowing pens. Animal care and husbandry were conducted following the European Commission directive 2010/63/EU on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes.

**Weaning Nutrition Strategies**

After supplying adequate colostrum quantity to the newly born piglets (trial day 0), average weight littersmates of large litters were evenly spread across the sows to achieve an average number of 12 piglets per sow. All piglets were weighed individually on the first day after birth, piglet sex (female, male) was assessed, and ear tags numbered for individual identification during the trial. At trial day three, the individual litter feed supplementation strategies commenced. Fig 1. gives an overview of the three nutritional weaning strategies. Starting with day three postpartum, the piglets received the randomly assigned treatments (dry, porridge, and gel).

The dry strategy includes the feeding of a piglet starter in meal form, supplying 20.0% crude protein (CP), 2.0% crude fiber (CF), and 11.5% ether extract (EE) at 92% dry matter (DM). Starting from day 21, a 50:50 mix between the piglet starter and the starter (17% CP, 3.5% CF, 4% EE at 92% DM). The supplementary diets within the farrowing department were supplied ad libitum for all litters...
via stainless steel 2 l volume round feeders. Weighing of the consumed diet and resupply of the respective diets was conducted each day at 6 AM for all litters.

Dietary supply for the litters receiving the porridge treatment started with 100% porridge with 20% solids (DM) supplying 3.8% CP, 0.05% CF, 2.2% EE on as fed basis. At day nine, the density of the solids was raised to 30% within the porridge treatment groups, supplying 5.7% CP, 0.1% CF, 3.4% EE on as fed basis. Starting from day 20, porridge was supplied in a 75:25 ratio with weaning starter, and at day 22 in a 50:50 ratio. Three days pre-weaning 100% weaning starter diet was supplied. Dietary supply for the litters within the gel treatment group was conducted similarly to the dry treatment group, diverging four days pre-weaning with the application of 25% 50:50 piglet starter and weaning starter mix, combined with 75% gel starter, on dry matter basis. The gel product was characterized by 3.1% CP, 0.5% CF, 2.2% EE and 25% DM. Two days before weaning, the gel treatment group received 50% weaning starter +50% gel and after weaning 75% weaning starter +25% gel till the fourth day in the flat deck nursery. Starting from the fifth day in the flat deck, all treatment groups received the same diet. The weaning starter diet was followed by an initial phase 1 diet at day 43 and subsequently by an initial phase 2 diet starting at day 57. Single piglet weighing was conducted on three dates in the farrowing department (first-day postpartum, day 20, and weaning), and on two dates in the flat deck (day 35 and day 70).

After weaning at four weeks (28 days), 60 randomly selected piglets per treatment group were randomly assigned to one of four housing units within the same flat deck nursery. The surplus piglets were housed together in the fourth flat deck nursery compartment and no longer considered within the study. Piglets surpassing a bodyweight of 25 kg are subsequently termed grower pigs, and after the final weighing at day 70 (flat deck), the now grower pigs were marketed to a professional fattening farm.

**Economics Measurement and Survival**

The income over feed cost (IOFC) as an important indicator of the economic efficiency of piglet rearing was applied. All grower pigs within the trial were marketed to a fattening unit at a base price of 87 €/30 kg grower pig. The IOFC per grower pig was calculated, following:

\[
IOFC = \gamma \times \mu - \delta,
\]

where: \(\gamma\) is the grower pig weight in kg, \(\mu\) the kg price in €, and \(\delta\) the accumulated dietary costs per grower pig in €. To assess the dietary costs per

---

1: Graphical representation of supplementary piglet nutrition strategies, focusing on suckling, weaning, and flat deck nursery phases. Color codes indicate the applied product or feed mixture; blending steps (25, 50, 75, and 100%) indicate the proportion of the supplied diet on a dry matter basis.
The number of piglets per sow among treatment groups did not differ significantly ($P > 0.05$). Arithmetic mean of birth weights between treatment groups dry, gel, and porridge was not significantly different, averaging at $1,456 \pm 100$ g (Tab. 1, Fig. 2). Life weight development of piglets measured in weeks three and four showed numerically superior values for the porridge treatment, followed by the gel and dry treatment groups. Daily weight gains of piglets in the suckling phase averaged at 197 g (dry), 209 g (gel), and 228 g (porridge), with significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher daily gains within the porridge treatment. Supplementary feed consumption within the farrowing department was highest for the porridge group, followed by the gel group and dry group (Tab. 1). Due to crushing and overlay, five piglets were lost in treatment I.

### RESULTS

**Growth Performance and Piglet Survival**

The number of piglets per sow among treatment groups did not differ significantly ($P > 0.05$). Arithmetic mean of birth weights between treatment groups dry, gel, and porridge was not significantly different, averaging at $1,456 \pm 100$ g (Tab. 1, Fig. 2). Life weight development of piglets measured in weeks three and four showed numerically superior values for the porridge treatment, followed by the gel and dry treatment groups. Daily weight gains of piglets in the suckling phase averaged at 197 g (dry), 209 g (gel), and 228 g (porridge), with significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher daily gains within the porridge treatment. Supplementary feed consumption within the farrowing department was highest for the porridge group, followed by the gel group and dry group (Tab. 1). Due to crushing and overlay, five piglets were lost in treatment I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation/Treatment</th>
<th>Dry</th>
<th>Gel</th>
<th>Porridge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average birth weight (g)</td>
<td>1,408</td>
<td>1,481</td>
<td>1,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postpartum (n)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3 (n)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weaning (n)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market (n)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farrowing department feed consumption (kg DM/piglet)</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat deck feed consumption (kg DM/grower pig)</td>
<td>35.54</td>
<td>37.35</td>
<td>38.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat deck feed conversion</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dietary cost (€/piglet)</td>
<td>21.23</td>
<td>25.96</td>
<td>26.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base price (€/30kg)</td>
<td>87.00</td>
<td>87.00</td>
<td>87.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (€/grower pig)</td>
<td>80.95</td>
<td>83.87**</td>
<td>90.64***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOFC (€/grower pig)</td>
<td>59.72</td>
<td>57.91**</td>
<td>64.00***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantage (€/grower pig)</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-2.64**</td>
<td>3.46***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
groups dry and porridge, respectively, ten in treatment group gel, amounting to a total of 8.5% pre-weaning piglet losses. No animal losses were registered during the feeding experiment within the flat deck phase. Life weight development of weaned piglets in the flat deck, measured one week (week five) and six weeks (week ten) post-weaning showed significantly (P < 0.001 and P < 0.01) higher values for the porridge treatment, followed by the gel and dry treatment groups (Fig. 2). Daily weight gains of the weaned piglets averaged at 504 g (dry), 517 g (gel), and 557 g (porridge), with significantly (P < 0.001) higher daily gains within the porridge treatment. Flat deck feed consumption was highest for the porridge group, followed by the gel and dry group (Tab. 1).

**Economic Performance Assessment**

Dietary investment costs per piglet differed between treatment groups and were highest for the porridge treatment, followed by gel and dry treatment groups (Tab. 1). Within week ten the porridge treatment group reached significantly highest (P < 0.001) average life weights (31.26 kg) and average income per grower pig (90.64 €/grower pig), followed by the gel (29.07 kg; 83.87 €/grower pig, P < 0.01) and the dry treatment group (28.14 kg; 80.95 €/grower pig). Significantly (P < 0.001) highest income over feed cost (IOFC) results were observed within the porridge treatment (64.00 €/grower pig), followed by the dry (59.72 €/grower pig) and gel treatment group (57.91 €/grower pig). Relative advantage of IOFC in € per grower pig was the largest (P < 0.001) for the porridge group (basis arithmetic mean of treatment group IOFC results), followed by the dry, and gel treatment group (Tab. 1, Fig. 3).

**DISCUSSION**

As weaning constitutes a sudden and drastic physiological event in piglet nursing, pre-weaning supplemental nutrition seems to pose an enormous window of opportunity to positively shape also long-term life and production phase-related key performance indicators (KPI). It is meanwhile commonly acknowledged that a sufficient supplementary nutrient supply for the piglets within the first weeks of life may yield positive effects for the piglets and the highly prolific sow alike (Nevrkla et al., 2017; Kirchgeßner et al., 2008; Bagnell and Bartol, 2019). While piglet supplemental feed in dry form may be easy to apply, studies have shown that piglets may profit from higher feed intakes and higher daily gains when supplied supplemental feed in liquid form (Zijlstra et al., 1996). Within this study, absolute feed intake and daily gains within pre-weaned piglets corroborate the results of Zijlstra et al. (1996), Odle and Harrell (1998), and Chot et al. (2004). Daily weight gains of the porridge fed piglets were with 228 g/d, significantly higher (+12.3%), than the average daily gains of their trial mates within the dry and gel groups.

Interestingly, the apparent carry over effects within the porridge treatment group. Results suggest a continuous advantage in average daily weight gains (+9%) and life weight development, measured in the first (+14.1%) and sixth week (+9.3%) post-weaning, in comparison to the average gel and dry treatment group performances. As expected, the applied gel product showed numerical advantages, in contrast to the dry strategy in terms of post-weaning weight gains and life weight development. This suggests that the gel strategy may be efficient in partly mending weaning stress. Nonetheless, the performance differences in daily weight gains and life weight development may also be explained by numerically larger birth weights and a slightly lower average number of piglets per sow within the gel treatment group. The larger variance of life weight results at the end of the trial also corroborates this conclusion.

Of specific practical importance may be the observation that a successful weaning preparation strategy might be achieved well before the actual weaning date. The porridge strategy with early supplementation of nutrients apparently elicited
epigenetic effects, effective far beyond the actual feeding period. Since all treatment groups had access to sow milk, and a similarly reduced stress environment at weaning (no feed change), the obtained results point into this direction (Alonso-Spilsbury et al., 2007; Barotl et al., 2008). In light of the favorable results obtained within the porridge treatment group, the question, whether improved litter performance may also routinely be obtained by supplying a porridge supplemental diet to neonatal piglets, can be answered with yes.

Of similar importance as the biological KPIs are economical KPIs for the practitioner. Does improved litter performance also translate into overall improved net economic returns? As expected, the treatment groups gel and porridge require a higher investment into feed and also feed preparation. Therefore not only the higher monetary investment may be considered, but also potential higher requirements in working hours. Despite yielding higher market prices for the grower pigs in comparison to the dry group, the gel group generated the lowest income over feed cost results. In combination with potential higher time requirements, therefore the gel strategy may be first seen as a niche weaning option. In contrast to the gel and dry methods, the porridge treatment not only yielded the highest grower pig market prices, but also the highest IOFC per grower pig. The higher monetary investment of ~ 5 €/pre-weaned piglet of the porridge diet in comparison to the dry diet was paid back by ~ 10 €/grower pig improved market return. Therefore within the presented trial, a return of investment of 2:1 to the advantage of the porridge strategy can be stated. With the nowadays available cup systems, group farrowing and smart herd management approaches, the edge of a porridge strategy may be further supported, due to an additional reduction of the associated workload (Mesarec et al., 2020; Schönleben et al., 2020). The obtained results are, therefore, highly encouraging towards improving economic, as well as biological outcomes in professional piglet production.

CONCLUSION
In summary, the presented results from a representative commercial piglet nursery unit suggest that piglet growth can be substantially supported by supplying a porridge pre-weaning diet in the early suckling phase. With the availability of automatic/semi-automatic liquid feeding systems (e.g., cup systems and milk/porridge taxis), the application of liquid to porridge-like feeds within farrowing units seems, therefore, to be a straightforward and economical procedure, also under practical field conditions. For instance, the application of a porridge taxi may constitute an easy to implement, economically attractive investment also for small and medium-sized practitioners and piglet producers. The readily prepared porridge may then be efficiently supplied to the piglets e.g. via a dosing lance and ~ 2 l stainless steel round feeders within the farrowing department. This way, animal control and supplementary feeding of the neonatal piglets can be combined within one step. Aiming for a 30% total solids concentration of the piglet porridge (300 g of product dissolved in 700 g of water) throughout its application period may constitute an additional relief for the practitioner. Since supplementary feed intake of the piglets within the first week postpartum is lowest by experience, the transition from a once per day porridge feeding strategy towards two or multiple times per day may be conducted starting from the second week postpartum. Higher feed intake at early stages, accelerated growth rates, reduced days to market, and improved income over feed cost are among the advantages, practical piglet producers may profit from, in feeding supplementary porridge diets. The results suggest, the detected edges may not only manifest within the nursery but also carry over into grower and fattening phases.
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